



भारत का राजपत्र

The Gazette of India

प्राप्तिकार से प्रकाशित

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं० ४७] नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, नवम्बर १९, १९६६/कातिक २८, १८८८

No. 47] NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, NOVEMBER 19, 1966/KARTIKA 28, 1888

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके।

Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation.

भाग II—संख्या ३—उपसंख्या (ii)

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

(रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों और (संघ कानून प्रशासन को छोड़कर)

केन्द्रीय प्राधिकरणों द्वारा जारी किए गए विधिक आवेदन और अधिसूचनाएं।

Statutory orders and notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence) and by Central Authorities (other than the Administration of Union Territories).

ELECTION COMMISSION, INDIA

New Delhi, the 3rd November 1966

S.O. 3463.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 21 and sub-section (1) of section 22 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951, the Election Commission hereby directs that the following amendments shall be made in its notification No. 434/MP/66, dated the 29th August, 1966, namely—

(i) Against '16-Kanker' Parliamentary Constituency—

in column 2 of the table, for the existing entry, the entry, 'Collector, Raipur'; and

in column 3 thereof, for the existing entry, 'Collector, Raipur district'; the entry, 'Collector, Bastar' shall be substituted

(ii) Against 17-Bastar Parliamentary Constituency—

in column 3 of the table, the entry 'Additional Collector, Bastar district' shall be deleted.

[No. 434/MP/66.]

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 2nd November 1966

S.O. 3464.—In the Commission's Notification No. 154/9/66 dated 19th October, 1966, published as S.O. 3166 at page 2946 of the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3 Sub-section (ii) For "Shri Syed Murshed Peer" substitute "Shri M. Syed Murshed Peer".

By Order,
PRAKASH NARAIN, Secy.

New Delhi, the 3rd November 1966

S.O. 3465.—It is hereby notified for general information that the disqualification under clause (c) of section 7 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951, incurred by the person whose name and address are given below, has been removed by the Election Commission in exercise of the powers conferred on it by the said clause and section of the said Act:—

SCHEDULE

Name and address of the disqualified candidate	Serial No. and name of constituency	Commission's notification No. and date under which disqualified
1	2	3
Shri Konuri Kannaiah, 72, Kan-karagunta Guntur.	40-Mahbubabad	AP-HP/40/65/Bye (1), dated the 3rd July, 1965.
[No. AP-HP/40/65/Bye(1-R).] By Order, ROSHAN LAL, Under Secy.		

MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

New Delhi, the 5th November 1966

S.O. 3466.—In pursuance of Clause (a) of Section 2 of the Diplomatic and Consular Officers (Oaths and Fees) Act, 1948, the Central Government hereby authorises Shri Venkataramanan, Cypher Assistant in the Embassy of India, Havana to perform the duties of a Consular Agent with immediate effect until further orders.

[No. T. 4330/5/66.]

S. K. CHATTERJEE, Under Secy.

विदेश मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 5 नवम्बर 1966

एस० ओ० 3467.—राजनयिक तथा कौंसली अधिकारी (शपथ और पीस) अधिनियम 1948 के खंड 2 की धारा (क) के अनुसार, केन्द्रीय सरकार हवाना स्थित भारतीय, राजदूतावास में बीजांक सहायक (साइपर असिस्टेन्ट), श्री बैंकटरामनन को इसके द्वारा अगला प्रावेश होने तक कौंसली एजेंट का आज से ही कार्य करने के लिए अधिकृत करता है।

[नं० टी० 4330/5/66.]

एस० के० चटर्जी, अवर सचिव ।

OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR OF CENTRAL EXCISE

CENTRAL EXCISE

Bangalore, the 6th October, 1966

O. 3468.—In exercise of the powers conferred on me under the second proviso to Rules 15 and 16 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944 and in supersession of this Collectorate Notification No. 2/64 dated 21-4-64 as amended from time to time, I hereby notify the areas shown in the appended schedule as sparse growing areas for the purpose of Rules 15 and 16 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944. In these areas persons cultivating Indian Air Cured tobacco on land measuring not more than 6 acres (in case the produce cured in the whole leaf form) and 4 acres (if cured otherwise), shall be exempt from the provisions of rule 15, and persons curing up to 36 kilogrammes in whole leaf form or up to 14 kilogrammes in other forms shall be exempt from the provisions of rule 16 of Central Excise Rules, 1944.

Schedule showing the Revenue Jurisdiction of the Areas exempted from the provisions of Rules 15 and 16 of Central Excise Rules, 1944.

District	Area delimited	Exceptions	Officer to whom declaration are to be rendered in case the prescribed limits are exceeded
	1	2	3
Bangalore (Tobacco MOR)	1. Devanahalli Taluk 2. Bangalore South Tq. 3. Bangalore North Tq. 4. Anekal Taluk 5. Hoskote taluk	Varthur of Bangalore South Taluk Balagutti hobli of Honnalli taluk.	Dy. Supdt. of C. Ex. Tobacco M.O.R. Bangalore.
Shimoga	1. Channagere Taluk 2. Honnalli Taluk 3. Sorab taluk 4. Sagar taluk 5. Shikaripur taluk 6. Hosanagar taluk 7. Thirthahalli taluk 8. Shimoga taluk 9. Bhadravati taluk	Basavapatna & Santhe-bennur Hoblies. Sasuvehalli hobli and Holchonnur hobli	Range Officer C. Ex. Chitradurga. Dy. Supdt. M. O. R. Bhadravathi.
Chitradurga	1. Jagalur Taluk 2. Chitradurga taluk 3. Holakere taluk	Devangere Taluk Sokke hobli except Sethara rasapalli and Siddankote villages. Turuvanur hobli except Chikkondanahalli Turuvanur, Kundur and Arelanahalli. Bangarakanahalli B'durga and Talya hoblies. Jampanayakan kote of Chitradurga hobli.	Dy. Supdt. M. O. R. Devanagere. Range Officer, C. Ex. Chitradurga.
Tumkur	1. Tumkur Taluk 2. Gubbi Taluk 3. Kungia Taluk 4. Tiptur taluk 5. Chicknayakanahalli Tq. 6. Turuverkere Taluk	Chelur hobli of Gubbi Tq. Madhugiri and Koratagere Taluks.	Range Officer. M.O.R. Tumkur.
Gulbarga	1. Kamalapur Firka 2. Farhatabad Firka	1. Kamalapur 2. Dastapur 3. Mahagaon 4. Jeavangi 1. Udnoor 2. Kanni 3. Jagoor 4. Haragundig	Dy. Supdt. M.O.R. Gulbarga.

Bellary Division.

I 2 3 4

3. Gulbarga Firka	1. Uppaloan 2. Hirapur 3. Gulberga 4. Sultanpur 5. Bhosga.	Dy. Supdt. M.O.R. Gulbarga.
4. Jeevargi Taluk	1. Jeeratgi 2. Jeevargi 3. Harnoor.	
5. Aland Taluk	1. Aland ; Khajuri; Bhusnoor; Devanthi; Bhangapur; Javalgi ; Hilal-Sirur; Tedkol, Madgunki; Mandu- hipparga; Ambalga ; Jidga Sarshamba ; Hirali, Narona; Nimbal.	
6. Afzalpur Firka	1. Mashal 2. Mallabad 3. Badadol 4. Bellurgi.	
7. Attuor Firka	1. Nilur 2. Gabbur 3. Attuor 4. Hirretevoor.	
8. Chincholi Firka	1. Sulepeth	Inspector of Central Excise, Chincholi.
9. Sulapeth Firka	2. Nidgundt	
10. Chimanchod Firka	Chimanchod Karpalli	
	2. Nidgundt	
	3. Hasa	
	4. Dotikoi	
	5. Karakumu	
	6. Yelnamadi	
	7. Naranhal	
11. Chittapur Firka	8. Gadalingadhalli 9. Chandanoor.	
12. Kalgi Firka	1. Madbole 2. Dandoth 3. Yargal 4. Aladhihal 5. Gund Gurthi 6. Belgumpi 7. Mogala 8. Margol	Dy. Supdt., C. Ex. M.O.R., Yadgiri.
13. Nalwar Firka	1. Mangalgi 2. Kodaduru 3. Rorwar (new)	
14. Yadgir Firka	1. Bommanhalu 2. Satnoot 3. Halkatta 4. Bhemmanahalli 5. Allur (Big) Allur (Kas) 7. Ramateerth.	
15. Saidapur Firka	1. Chigtr	
16. Balchakra Firka	2. Yadgiri 1. Sangwar. 1. Mastoor 2. Kawloor	

I	2	3	4
17. Doranhalli Firka	1. Gulsarm.		
18. Hattigudur Firka	1. Rastapur		
19. Jogi and Wadgera Firkas.			
20. Shorapur Firka	1. Kawadi Mutt		
21. Hanasgi Firka	2. Guddihal		
22. Kodkai Firka	1. Hire hebbal		
23. Kembaavi Firka	2. Chick hebbal		
	1. Kamnatigi		
	2. Rafan Kollur		
	1. Chick mudnur		
	2. Hiricmudnur		
	3. Rampur		
	4. Godrihal		
24. Kodla Firka	1. Hulgol		
	2. Kelkunda		
	3. Sindanmadu		
	4. Ranjola		
	5. Chittankapalli		
	6. Ghutpur		
	7. Bidarched.		
	8. Handarki		
25. Mulhul Firka	1. Gopanhalli		
BIDAR			
1. Aurad Taluka	1. Bhalki		
2. Bhalki Taluka			
3. Bidar Taluka	1. Hukrana (B)		
	2. Ranjol		
	3. Bagdal		
	4. Aliambar		
	5. Jampad		
	6. Bomvalgi		
	7. Nidwanchi		
4. Humnabad Taluka	1. Hulgi		
5. Basvakalyan Taluk	2. Ujalam		
	1. Basvarkalyan		
RAICHUR			
1. Raichur Taluk	1. Kalmala		
	2. Dinni		
	3. Hospet		
	4. Jarkal		
	5. Villages of Kalmala Firka.		
	6. Gunjhalli		
	7. Matmaru of Yergera Firka.		
2. Manvi Taluks	1. Kurdi		
3. Devadurg Taluk	2. Kallur of Kurdi Firka		
	1. Masarkal of Devadurg Firka.		
4. Hittanhalli Firka of Koppal Taluk			
5. Bhanji Firka of Yelburga Taluk.			
BELLARY			
6. Hospet Firka	1. Koppal.		
7. Mariyammanthahalli Firka.			
8. Mulland Village			

1

2

3

4

RIACHUR	1. BGangavathi Taluk	1. Mathur	Inspector Central Ex. Gangavathi.
	2. Kustagi Taluk	2. Kesur	
		3. Kudhur	
		4. Belatgi	
		5. Dotihal	
		6. Madenur	
		7. Bahnhattia	
		8. Megur	
		9. Siruguppi villages of Kustagi Firka.	
		10. Tavergera village of Taveragera Firka.	
	3. Sindhanoor Taluk	1. Sindhanoor village	
	4. Lingsugur Taluk	1. Mudgal	
		2. Rahimatnal Village.	
BELLARY	5. Kampli Firka	1. Hampasager village	Dy. Supdt. MOR Harapahanalli.
	6. Kamalapur Firka	1. Karamadugu	
	7. Mallapur Taluk	2. Kudligi	
	8. Kudligi Firka	1. Hunsi	
	9. Gudlkota Firka	1. Hudem	
	10. Hosalli	2. Kunti	
		3. Talagathalli	
		4. Chirtgudi	
	11. Bellary Taluk	1. Bellary Firka	Insp. C.Ex. Bellary Rural.
	12. Sandur Taluk	1. Sandur Firka	
		2. Ankamal village of Chornur Firka	
	13. Siruguppa Taluk	1. Talur	
BIJAPUR	1. Sindgi Firka of Sindgi Taluk	2. Tekkolkete	Insp. C.Ex. Bellary Rural.
		3. Bagewadi	
	2. Almel Firka of Sindgi Taluk	4. Balkundi	
		1. Sindgi	
		2. Yargat buzruk	
		3. Golgeri	
		4. Bambel	
	3. Deverhippargi Firka of Sindgi Taluk	1. Almel	Inspector of Central Excise, Sindgi.
	4. Indi Taluk	2. Gundgi	
		3. Asangihal	
	5. Hungund Taluk	4. Uchit Navadgi	
		5. Moratgi	
		1. Tamba	Inspector of Central Excise Ilkal.
		2. Cnadachan	
		3. Ahirsang	
		1. Kambhihal	
		2. Pachapur	
		3. Kodihal	
		4. Hungund	
		5. Nandawadgi	
		6. Ilkal	
		7. Kundhol	
		8. Hire Upoal	
		9. Tondehal	
		10. Gonal	
		11. Tumb	
		12. Chinapur	

		13. Balkundi 14. Kusanhbavi 15. Amingad 16. Rakkarsaga 17. Jakkaldimi 18. Chint Kamaldinne 19. Lolsar	Dy. Supdt. MOR Gadag.
DHARWAR	6. Navalgund Taluk 7. Mundargi Taluk 8. Gadag Taluk 9. Kalghatgi Taluk	1. Tirulapur 2. Yemnur 3. Navalgund 1. Mundargi 2. Shirolur 3. Byalwadgi 4. Binhnihalli 5. Bidrahalli 6. Singlur 7. Donni 8. Ekalampur 9. Mandi	
NORTH KANARA	1. Haliyal Taluka 2. Castel Rock 3. Teneghat 4. Supa Taluka	1. Lakkundi 2. Timmapur 3. Kannaginhal 4. Malasamudra 5. Chanchihal 6. Betgeri 7. Narasapur 8. Lingdol	Dy. Supdt. MOR Hubli.
DHARWAR	1. Byadgi Taluk 2. Haveri Taluk	1. Byadgi 2. Kadermandalgi 3. Motebennur 4. Aladagiri 5. Teredalli 1. Haver 2. Hombardi 3. Aladakatti 4. Yelagacha 5. Karatigi 6. Chikamaralli 7. Hiremarally 8. Mannur 9. Hiremagdур 10. Handiganur 11. Ichang 12. Arlihalli 13. Horarith 14. Kordur 15. Chennur 16. Akkur 17. Mardur 18. Kittur 19. Havanur 20. Guttal 21. Havangi 22. Neglur 23. Kurugunda 24. Kanvalli 25. Shakur	Inspt. of C.Ex. Dharwar.
			Inspr. of C. Ex. Byadgi.

1	2	3	4
	3. Hanagal Taluk 4. Hirekerur Taluk	1. Rathihalli 2. Masur 3. Aldagiri 4. Totaganti 5. Chikabari	} Inspt. C.Ex. Rane- bennur (West Sector).
MYSORE	1. Chamrajanagar Tq. 2. Yelandur Taluk 3. T. Narasipur Taluk 4. Gundlupet Taluk	1. Chamrajanagar 2. Sivapura 3. Ramasamudra 4. Siddyanapura Colony of Aragulipura 5. Badagalapura & Kamarvalli	
		1. T. Narasipur Hobli 1. Begur 1. Begur 2. Kamirahalli of Begur Hobli and Settahalli 4. Kebbepura 5. Ankahalli 6. Bachahalli 7. Lakkere & 8. Berambadi of Hangala Hobli	} Range Officer C.E. Nanjangud.
	5. Chengadi 6. Ponnachi 7. P. G. Palyam 8. Baijur	
MANDYA	1. Maddur Taluk 2. Mandya Taluk 3. Malavalli Taluk 4. Srirangapatna Taluk 5. Nagamangala Taluk 6. K. R. Pet Taluk except Bhukana kere 7. Pandavapura Taluk except Chinnakurrali	} Range Officer, Central Excise Mandya.
GOORG	1. Mercara Taluk 2. Virajpet Taluk	
HASSAN	3. Svarapet Taluk 1. Saklaspura 2. Belur Taluk 3. Alur Taluk 4. Shanthigrama of Hassan Hobli, Hassan Tq. 5. Kankatte Banavara Hobli of Arsikere Taluk 6. Mallipatna Hobli of Arkalgud Taluk 7. Bogur Hobli of Channarayapatna Taluk	Frazerpet Hobli 1. Sannenhalli 2. Md. Pura 1. Mithighatta 2. Gangur 1. Banavara 2. Basavarajapura 3. Bendigere 4. Sanigere of Banavara Hobli 1. Nuggchalli of Chennarayapatna Taluk	} R.O. Mercara R.O. Virajpet R.O. Frazerpet Range Officer, Central Excise Saklaspur Range officer Central Excise Hassan. Sector Officer of Forward Sector of Holenarasipur o Hassan MOR.
Chickmagalur	1. Jagara 2. Khandya 3. Aldur 4. Avathi 5. Vastara 6. Ambale 7. Chickmagalur hoblies of Chickmagalur Tq.	1. Lakya Hobli of Chickmagalur Tq.	
			Range Officer of Cen- tral Excise, Chick- magalur.

1	2	3	4
	8. Koppa Taluk 9. Stringeri 10. Narasimharajapura Tq's.	— — —	Range Officer of Central Excise, Koppa.
	11. Kalasa and Belur Hobbies of Mudigere Taluk.	—	Range Officer, C. Ex., Kalasa.
	12. Mudigere Taluk except Kalasa & Belur hobbies.		Range Officer, C. Ex., Mudigere.
	13. Tirkere Taluk 14. Kadur Taluk	Ajampura, Shiyne hobbies. Kadur, Hirenalur Sakrepatna hobbies of Kadur Taluk.	Range Officer, Central Excise, Kadur.
South Kanara	1. Sullya Taluk 2. Kota Firka of Udupi Taluk.	— —	Range Officer, C. Ex., Mangalore. Range Officer, C. Ex., Udupi.
Belgaum	1. Kanapur Taluk 2. Ramdurg Taluk	Chickmamoli, Karavinkop Hireschattihol. Tondikatti	Dy. Superintendent M.O.R., Belgaum. Range Officer, C. Ex., Gokak.
Bangalore (I Division)	1. Muzadi Taluk 2. Bananagaram Taluk 3. Kanakapura Taluk 4. Channapatna Taluk. 5. 7 Villages in Doddaballapur Tq. viz. (i) Arudi (ii) Hodanahalli (iii) U. Hosahalli. (iv) Ujjani (v) Mallasandra (vi) Sannenahalli (vii) Coddanahalli. 6. Nelamangala Taluk.	Yanayakanahalli hobli of Kanakapura Taluk. The other villages of Doddaballapur Taluk.	Range Officer, Central Excise, Channapatna. Range Officer, Central Excise, Doddaballapur
Kolar	1. Kolar Taluk 2. Malur Taluk 3. Bangarpet Taluk 4. Mulbagal Taluk 5. Chintamani Taluk 6. Srinivaspur Taluk 7. Siddalaghata Taluk 8. Chickballapur Taluk 9. Bagepalli Taluk. 10. Dillinyakanapallys hobli of Gowribiddnur Taluk. 11. Gudibanda Taluk.	Chellur hobli The remaining villages of Gowribiddnur Taluk. 1. Vatadahosalli. 2. Manchenahalli. 3. Kasaba hobli.	Range Officer Central Excise Kolar. Range Officer, C. Ex., Chintamani. Range Officer C. E Gowribiddnur.

(Issued from file V/4/30/7/66-B. 2).

[No. 3/66.]

New Delhi, the 13th October 1966

S.O. 3469.—In exercise of the powers vested in me under rule 5 of the Central Excise Rules 1944, I hereby empower the Central Excise Officers of the Mysore Central Excise Collectorate

specified in Col. 1 of the table below, to exercise within their respective jurisdiction the powers of Collector under the rule enumerated in Col. 2 and subject to the limitations set out in Col. 3 of the table.

Rank of Officer	Rule	Extent of authority and/or limitations
Assistant Collector of Central Excise.	174	To refuse grant of Central Excise licence in the case of powerlooms.

[No. 5/ 66.

(Issued from file IV/8/2/66 B. 2)

C. T. A. PILLAI, Collector.

THE MADRAS CENTRAL EXCISE COLLECTORATE, MADRAS

CORRIGENDUM

Madras, the 6th October 1966

S.O. 3470.—The following amendment is made in the Notification C. No. IV/F/8/244/49.57 B. 1, dated 4th February, 1957.

“The entries against item 13 (Rule 144 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944) of the Notification may be deleted.”

[No. C. Nb. V4(30)/15/66 CE. Pol. II.]

B. SEN, Collector.

MINISTRY OF MINES AND METALS

New Delhi, the 8th November 1966

S.O. 3471.—Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Mines and Fuel No. S.O. 3235, dated the 8th November, 1963, under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957), the Central Government gave notice of its intention to prospect for coal in 6700.00 acres (approximately) or 2713.50 Hectares (approximately) of lands in the locality specified in the Schedule appended to that notification,

And whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Steel and Mines (Department of Mines and Metals) No. S.O. 3617, dated the 16th November, 1965 under sub-section (1) of Section 7 of the said Act, notice was issued specifying a further period of one year commencing from the 8th November, 1965, as the period within which the Central Government may give notice of its intention to acquire the lands or of any rights in or over such lands, described in that notification;

And whereas the Central Government is satisfied that coal is obtainable in 4300.00 acres (approximately) or 1741.50 Hectares (approximately) out of the said lands;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 7 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby gives notice of its intention to acquire the rights to mine, quarry, bore, dig and search for, win, work and carry away mineral in the lands measuring 4300.00 acres (approximately) or 1741.50 hectares (approximately) described in the schedule appended hereto;

Note 1.—The plans of the area covered by this notification may be inspected in the Office of the Collector, Bilaspur (MP) or in the Office of the Coal Controller, 1, Council House Street, Calcutta or in the Office of the National Coal Development Corporation Limited (Revenue Section) Darbhanga House, Ranchi (Bihar).

Note.—Attention is hereby invited to the provisions in Section 8 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957, which provides as follows:—

(1) "Any person interested in any land in respect of which a notification under section 7 has been issued may, within thirty days of the issue of the notification, object to the acquisition of the whole or any part of the land or of any rights in or over such land.

Explanation.—It shall not be an objection within the meaning of this section for any person to say that he himself desired to undertake mining operations in these land for the production of coal and that such operations should not be undertaken by the Central Government or by any other person.

(2) Every objection under sub-section (1) shall be made to the competent authority in writing and the competent authority shall give the objector an opportunity of being heard either in person or by a legal practitioner and shall, after hearing all such objections and after making such further inquiry, if any, as he thinks necessary, submit the case for decision of the Central Government together with the record of the proceedings held by him and a report containing his recommendations on the objections.

(3) For the purposes of this section, a person shall be deemed to be interested in land who would be entitled to claim an interest in compensation if the land or any rights in or over such land were acquired under this Act".

It may be noted that the Coal Controller, 1, Council House Street, Calcutta-1 has been appointed by the Central Government as the competent authority under the Act.

THE SCHEDULE

*Jatraj Block.
(Korba Coalfield)*

Drawing No. Rev/46/65 dated 18-10-65.

(Showing lands where rights to mine, quarry, bore, dig, search, win, work & carry away minerals are to be acquired.)

Mining Rights*

Sl. No.	Village	Tahsil	Halka number	Khewat number	District	Area	Remarks	
							1	2
1	Naraibad	.	Katghora	Bilaspur		Part
2	Geora	.	"	5	91	"		"
3	Pandripani	.	"	"		"
4	Khodri	.	"	"		"
5	Barpali	.	"	5	96	"		"
6	Durpa	.	"	9	118	"		"
7	Risdi	.	"	"		"
8	Churel	.	"	"		"
9	Pall	.	"	"		"
10	Padania	.	"	"		"
11	Sonpuri	.	"	"		"
12	Jatraj (US)	.	"	9	..	"		"
13	Korba	.	"	14	112	"		"

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
14	Dadar (Manikpur) (US)	Katghora	Bilaspur		Part
15	Bisrampur	..	14	113
16	Bhilai kh.	..	14	114
17	Dullapur		Full
18	Barkuta

TOTAL AREA : 4300.00 acres (Approximately)
Or 1741.50 Hectares. (Approximately)

Plot numbers to be acquired in Village Naraibat

52(P), 53(P), 58(P), 59, 60, 61, 62(P), 75, 76, 77, 78(P), 110(P), 111(P), 112(P), 113, 114(P), 115, 116, 117, 118(P), 119(P), 144(P), 145(P), 147(P), 148 to 171, 172(P), 173 to 220, 221(P), 223(P), 226(P), 228(P), 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234(P), 235(P), 250(P), 251(P), 252(P), 253(P), 254 to 294, 295(P), 296(P), 297(P), 299(P), 300(P), 312(P), 313(P), 314(P), 315(P), 317(P), 318(P), 319, 320, 321(P), 322(P), 323(P), 324 to 398, 399(P), 400 to 410, 411/1(P), 411/2(P), 412(P), 417(P), 418, 419, 420, 421, 422, 423(P), 424(P), 425 to 530, 531(P), 532 and 533(P).

Plot numbers to be acquired in village Pandripani

3(P), 4(P), 7(P), 8, 9(P), 10(P), 11(P), 14(P) and 15(P).

Plot numbers to be acquired in village Geora

262(P), 272(P), 274(P), 275, 276, 277(P), 278 to 290, 291(P), 292 to 326, 327(P), 328 to 361, 362(P), 363, 364, 365(P), 366, 367(P), 388(P), 389(P), 390, 391, 392(P), 393(P), 395(P), 396, 397, 398(P), 399(P), 407(P), 408(P), 409(P), 493(P), 496(P), 497, 498(P), 499 to 644, 605(P), 606, 607(P), 612(P), 614(P), 615 to 621, 632(P), 633, 634(P), 635(P), 636 to 890, 891(P), 892 to 906, 907(P), 908(P), 909 to 915, 916(P), 917(P), 919(P), 920(P), 930(P), 938(P), 939(P), 940(P), 941(P), 942(P), 1188(P), 1190(P), 1191 to 1197, 1198(P), 1199(P), 1211(P), 1212, 1213(P), 1219(P), 1220(P), 1221, 1222(P), 1223, 1224(P), 1225(P), 1253(P), 1254(P), 1257(P), 1258(P), 1259, 1260, 1261(P), 1262 to 1513, 1514(P), 1515 to 1526, 1527(P), 1528(P), 1529(P), 1530(P), 1531(P), 1533(P), 1534, 1535, 1536, 1537(P), 1538 to 1546, 1547(P), 1548(P), 1550(P), 1551, 1552, 1553(P), 1554, 1555(P), and 1619(P).

Plot numbers to be acquired in village Khodri

1 to 62, 63/1, 63/2, 64 to 129, 130/1, 130/2, 131 to 195, 196/1, 196/2, 197 to 236, 237/1, 237/2, 238, 239, 240, 241, 241/1Ka, 242/1, Cha(P), 242/1 Kha, 242/1Ga, 242/1Dha, 242/1Gha, 242/1Uoan, 242/1Chha, 242/1Ja, 242/1Ta, 242/1Tha, 242/1Da, 242/1Na, 242/1-243, 242/2, 242/3, 244, 245, 246, 247(P), 248(P), 249/1(P), 249/2(P), 250(P), 251/1(P), 251/2-252-253-254/2, 251/3, 254/1-256/2, 255, 256/1-242/4, 257 to 293, 294(P), 295(P), 296(P), 297, 298(P), 299(P), 300(P), 301 to 310, 311(P), 313(P), 314 to 339, & 340(P).

Plot numbers to be acquired in village Barpali

473(P), 474, 475(P), 477(P), 479(P), 542(P), 543(P), 544(P), 545, 546, 547, 548, 549, 550, 551(P), 552(P), 554(P), 555, 556, 557(P), 558 to 576, 577(P), 578(P), 587(P), 588(P), 589 to 594, 595(P), 601(P), 602(P), 603(P), 604 to 636, 637(P), 638, 639, 640/1, 640/2, 641 to 666, 667(P), 668, 669(P), 676(P), 677, 678(P), 679, 680(P), 682(P), 683(P), 684(P) & 685 to 706.

Plot numbers to be acquired in village Durpa

56(P), 59(P), 100(P), 107(P), 111(P), 116(P), 117(P), 118(P), 119(P), 120, 121, 122(P), 122(P), 124, 125, 126, 127, 128(P), 131(P), 132(P), 133(P), 134, 135 to 163, 164(P), 165(P), 166(P), 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172(P), 173(P), 174 to 265, 266/1, 266/2, 267 to 282, 283/1, 283/2, 284 to 352, 353/1, 353/2, 354 to 361, 362(P), 363, 364, 365(P), 366(P), 367(P), 372(P), 373 to 379, 380/1(P), 380/2, 380/3, 383(P), 433/1Ka(P), 434(P), 435(P), 442(P), 445(P), 446(P), 450(P), 451 to 462, 463(P), 464/1(P), 464/2, 465 to 500, 501/1, 501/2, 502 to 532, 533/1, 533/2, 534 to 689, 690/1, 690/2, 631 to 717, 718/1, 718/2, 719 to 792, 793/1, 793/2, 794 to 804, 805/1, 805/2 and 806 to 1044.

Plot numbers to be acquired in village Risdi :

1 to 12, 13(P), 14(P), 15(P), 19(P), 20(P), 21(P), 22 to 39, 40(P), 49(P), 50 to 65, 66(P), 70(P), 237(P), 238(P), 258(P), 259(P), 260(P), 264(P), 265, 266, 267, 268(P), 269(P), 270(P), 271 to 299, 300(P), 303(P), 304 to 313, 314(P), 315, 316, 317(P), 356(P), 357(P), 358, 359, 360(P), 361(P), 371(P), 372 to 384, 385(P), 386(P), 387(P) and 392(P)

Plot numbers to be acquired in village Churel

1(P), 4(P).

Plot numbers to be acquired in village Pali :

1 to 12, 13/1, 13/2, 14, 15, 16, 17/1, 17/2, 18 to 28, 29(P), 31(P), 32, 33, 34(P), 35 to 44, 142(P), 143 to 213, 214/1, 214/2, 215 to 242, 243(P), 244(P), 245(P), 246 to 256, 257(P), 258(P), 259(P), 260 to 292, 293/1(P), 293/2, 294 to 325, 326(P), 327(P), 334(P), 334(P), 370(P), 371(P), 372(P), 373(P), 374(P), 393(P), 399, 400(P), 421/3(P), 422(P), 404(P), 425(P), 426(P), 428(P), 429 and 430(P).

Plot numbers to be acquired in village Palania :

1, 2, 3, 4/1, 4/2, 5 to 49, 50(P), 51(P), 52, 53(P), 54(P), 56(P), 57(P), 58(P), 59(P), 60(P), 67(P), 68 (P), 69 (P), 70(P), 730(P), 738/1(P), 742(P), 743(P), 744, 745, 746(P), 747, 748(P), 749, 750(P), 751(P), 752(P), 756(P), 757(P), 758(P), 759 to 772, 773/1, 773/2, 774 to 787, 788/1, 788/2, 789, 790, 791, 792, 793/1, 793/2, 794, 795, 796/1, 796/2, 796/3, 797, 798, 799(P), 801 (P), 802, 803 (P), 804, 805(P) and 806(P).

Plot numbers to be acquired in village Sonpuri :

1, 2, 3, 4/1, 4/2, 4/3, 5, 10 to 16, 17/1, 17/2, 18 to 26, 27/1, 27/2, 27/3, 28 to 44, 45 (P), 46(P), 50(P), 51(P), 52 to 58, 59(P), 64(P), 208(P), 209(P), 210(P), 211, 212, 213, 214, 215/1, 215/2, 216 to 221, 222(P), 223(P), 231(P), 258(P), 259(P), 260(P), 261 to 292, 293/1, 293/2, 294 to 308, 309/1, 309/2, 310 to 339, 340(P), 341(P), 343(P), 411(P), 412(P), 413 to 430, 431/1, 431/2, 432, 433/1, 433/2, 434, 435, 436 to 445, 446/1, 446/2(P), 446/3, 447(P) and part of unnumbered plot of River Hasdeo.

Plot numbers to be acquired in village Jatraj (US) :

Part of unsurveyed village Jatraj and also part of River Hasdeo.

Plot numbers to be acquired in village Korba:

1088(P).

Plot numbers to be acquired in village Dadar (Manikpur) (US) :

Part of unsurveyed village Dadar (Manikpur) following in River Hasdeo.

Plot number to be acquired in village Bisrampur :

1(P).

Plot number to be acquired in village Bhilaikhurd :

1(P).

Plot numbers to be acquired in village Dullapur :

The whole village from plot number 1 to the last number.

Plot numbers to be acquired in village Barkutta :

The whole village plot number 1 to the last number.

Boundary Description :

A-B Line passes along the part common boundary of villages Mangaon and Geora and then through plot numbers 417, 423, 424, 423, 412, 411/1, 411/2, 399, 52, 53, 58, 62, 172, 78, 110, 111, 112, 114, 119, 118, 147, 145, 144 and 221 in village Naraibad and meets at point 'B'.

B-C Line passes along the part common boundary of villages Bhathera and Naraibad and meets at point 'C'.

C-D line passes through plot numbers 223, 226, 228, 235, 234, 235, 253, 252, 251, 250, 297, 296, 299, 300, 295, 323, 322, 321, 317, 318, 315, 314, 313, 312, 313, 533, 531 and 533 in village Nar-
abad, through plot numbers 3, 4, 7, 9, 10, 11, 14 and 15 in village Pandripani, through
plot numbers 605, 607, 635, 632, 634, 612, 614, 1555, 1553, 1550, 1547, 1548, 1533, 1531,
1537, 1531, 1527, 1530, 1529, 1528 and 1514 in village Geora, through plot numbers 247, 248, 249/2,
249/1, in village Khodri, through plot number 1619, in village Geora, through plot number
1 in village Churel, through plot numbers 249/2, 250, 242/1 Cha, 242/1 Ka, 251/1 in village Khodri,
through plot number 4 in village Churel, through plot numbers 299, 294, 295, 296, 298,
299, 313, 311, 299, 300, and 340 in village Khodri, through plot numbers 70, 13, 14, 21, 16,
20, 19, 40, 49, 66, 264, 260, 259, 258, 238, 237, 268, 269, 270, 300, 303, 314, 317, 356, 357,
361, 360, 371, 392, 385, 386, 387 in village Risdi, through plot numbers 29, 31, 430, 428, 34, 426,
425, 404, 402, 400, 401/2, 398, 142, 373, 374, 372, 370, 371, 243, 244, 245, 244, 334, 293/1,
257, 258, 259, 293/1, 327 and 326 in village Pali, through plot numbers 70, 69, 68, 67, 60,
59, 58, 57, 56, 50, 51, 54, 53, 730, 758, 757, 756, 752, 751, 748, 750, 746, 738, 1/1, 742, 743,
799, 801, 803, 805, and 806 in village Padania, through plot numbers 59, 64, 50, 51,
45, 46, 45, 210, 209, 208, 223, 222, 231, 260, 259, 258, 341, 343, 340, 412, 411, 447, 446/2, 447, and
unnumbered plot of Hasdeo River in village Sonpuri, through plot number 1 of Hasdeo
River in village Bhilaikhurd and meets at point 'D'.

D-E | Line passes along the part Eastern bank of River Hasdeo and meet at point 'E'.

E-E/1-F-G-H- Lines pass through plot number 1 (Hasdeo River) in village Bisrampur, along
the part Eastern bank of River Hasdeo in village Dadar (US) and Korba and meet at point 'H'.

H-I-J-A- Lines pass through plot number 1088 (River) in village Korba, through River
portion and village of Jarraj (US), then through plot numbers 464/1, 463, 433/1 Ka, 434, 433/1 Ka,
435, 450, 446, 445, 442, 383, 380/1, 372, 362, 367, 366, 365, 128, 133, 132, 131, 128, 123, 122, 56, 122,
59, 119, 118, 117, 116, 111, 164, 165, 166, 107, 172, 100, 172 and 173 in village Durpa, through
plot numbers 676, 678, 680, 684, 682, 669, 683, 669, 667, 473, 477, 475, 479, 637, 601, 602, 603, 595,
588, 587, 578, 577, 557, 554, 552, 551, 544, 543 and 542 in village Barpali, through plot numbers
1188, 1190, 1198, 1199, 1211, 1213, 1219, 1220, 1222, 1220, 1225, 1224, 1258, 1257, 1261, 1254,
1253, 940, 941, 942, 939, 938, 930, 907, 908, 920, 919, 916, 917, 891, 496, 493, 498, 365, 367,
362, 689, 388, 392, 393, 395, 398, 399, 398, 407, 408, 409, 327, 291, 277, 274, 272 and 262 in village
Geora and meet at starting point 'A'.

[No. C2-22(18) /63.]

RAM SAHAY, Under Secy.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNICATIONS

(P. & T. Board)

New Delhi, the 5th November 1966

S.O. 3472.—In pursuance of para (a) of Section III of Rule 434 of Indian Telegraph Rules, 1951, as introduced by S.O. No. 627 dated 8th March, 1960, the Director General, Posts and Telegraphs, hereby specifies the 19th November, 1966, as the date on which the Measured Rate System will be introduced in HAPUR Telephone Exchange.

[No. 5-52/66-PHB.]

D. R. BAHAL,
Assistant Director General (PHB).

संचार विभाग

(डाक-तार बोर्ड)

नई दिल्ली, 5 नवम्बर 1966

एस० ओ० 3473.—स्थाई आदेश क्रमसंख्या 627, दिनांक 8 मार्च 1960 द्वारा सार्व
किए गए 1951 के भारतीय तार नियमों के नियम 434 के खण्ड III के पैरा (क) के अनुसार

डाक-तार महानिदेशक ने हापड़ टैलीफोन केन्द्र में 19/11/66 से प्रमापित दर प्रणाली लागू करने का निश्चय किया है।

[5-52/66-पी० एच० बी०]

डी० आर० बहल,

महायक महानिदेशक (पी० एच० बी०)

MINISTRY OF FOOD, AGRICULTURE, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND COOPERATION

(Department of Agriculture)

New Delhi, the 5th November 1966

S.O. 3474.—In pursuance of clause (f) of section 3 of the Agricultural Produce (Grading and Marking) Act, 1937 (1 of 1937), and clauses (i) and (k) of rule 4 of the General Grading and Marking Rules, 1937 and in supersession of the notifications of the Government of India in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Department of Agriculture) Nos. 1448 to 1450 dated the 27th April, 1965 and in partial supersession (so far as the item "ginger" is concerned) of notification No. 2059 dated the 17th July, 1965, the Central Government hereby fixes, with effect from the 1st April, 1966 the following charges for Agmark labels to be affixed on the containers of Chillies, Black Pepper, Cardamoms and Ginger, namely:—

Name of the commodity	Unit size of packing weight per pack or part thereof	Scale of charges
(i) Chillies	25 kg.	Rs. 0.25
(ii) Black Pepper	(a) first 77 kg. (b) additional 5 kg. or part thereof	0.90 0.10
(iii) Cardamom	(a) upto 13 kg. (nett) (b) 13 kg. and upto 26 kg. (nett) (c) 26 kg. and upto 51 kg. (nett.)	0.60 1.25 2.50
(iv) Ginger	(a) 27 to 30 kg. (b) 64 kg. (c) 5 kg. or part thereof	0.46 0.92 0.12

[No. F. 15-21/66-AM.]

B. D. KAPUR, Under Secy.

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

PUBLIC NOTICE

New Delhi, the 9th November 1966

S.O. 3475.—Notice under Section 10(1) of Delhi Development Act, 1957 (No. 61 of 1957) read with rules 5 and 15 of the Delhi Development (Master Plan and Zonal Development Plan) Rules, 1959.

Notice is hereby given that

(a) a draft of the zonal development plan for each of the following zones:—
(i) A-26 (Red Fort),
A-27 (Raj Ghat), and

(ii) E-2(Shumali-Chiragh area—Shahdara).

has been prepared; and

(b) a copy thereof will be available for inspection at the following offices between the hours of 11 A.M. and 3 P.M. on all working days except Saturdays, till the date mentioned in paragraph 3 hereinafter:—

- (1) Office of the Delhi Development Authority, Delhi Vikas Bhawan, Indraprastha Estate, New Delhi,
- (2) Office of the New Delhi Municipal Committee, Town Hall, New Delhi,
- (3) Office of the Municipal Corporation of Delhi, Town Hall, Delhi-6; and
- (4) Office of the Executive Officer, Delhi Cantonment Board, Delhi Cantonment.

2. Objections and suggestions are hereby invited with respect to these draft zonal development plans.

3. Objections or suggestions may be sent in writing to the Secretary, Delhi Development Authority, Delhi Vikas Bhawan, Indraprastha Estate, New Delhi by the 19th December, 1966.

Any person making an objection or suggestion should also give his name and address.

[No. F. 4(37)/66-M.P.]

B. C. SARKAR, Addl. Secy.

MINISTRY OF LABOUR, EMPLOYMENT AND REHABILITATION

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 5th November, 1966

S.O. 3476.—The Central Government hereby publishes the following decision of the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay in respect of the matter referred to it under section 36A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, by the order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour and Employment No. S.O. 1883 dated the 3rd June, 1965, seeking interpretation of the terms of the settlement dated 24th June, 1963, arrived at between the employers in relation to the Central Bank of India Limited, Agra Group and their employees relating to promotions of the employees in respect of whom there are cases of proved misconduct.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT BOMBAY

REFERENCE No. CGIT. 40 OF 1965

Employers in relation to the Central Bank of India Limited, Agra Group of Branches.

AND

Their Workmen represented by the U.P. Bank Employees Union, Agra Unit.

PRESENT:

Shri Salim M. Merchant, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

For the Employers: Shri N. V. Phakde, Advocate with Shri I. H. J. Irani, Staff Officer, Central Bank of India Ltd.

For the Workmen: Shri A. C. Kakkar, President, All India Bank Employees' Association, Agra Unit, with Shri R. N. Chaturvedi, Secretary U. P. Bank Employees Union, Agra Unit.

INDUSTRY: Banking.

STATE: Madhya Pradesh.

Dated this 18th day of June, 1966.

DECISION

1. The Central Government by the Ministry of Labour and Employment's order No. 53(25)/65-LR. IV, dated 3rd June, 1965 made in exercise of the powers conferred by section 36A of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (14 of 1947) was pleased to refer

the question as stated in the following schedule to the said order to me for decision:—

SCHEDULE

"Whether keeping in view the terms of the Settlement (dated 24th June 1963) arrived at between the employers in relation to the Central Bank of India, Ltd. Agra Group and their employees represented by the U. P. Bank Employees Union, Agra Unit before the Conciliation Officer (Central), Kanpur, promotions of the employees in respect of whom there are cases of proved misconduct, depend upon the discretion of the employers"

2. After this reference was made, the U.P. Bank Employees Union, Agra (hereinafter referred to as the Union), filed its statement of claim dated 21st July 1965 and the Central Bank of India Ltd., (hereinafter referred to as the Bank) filed its written statement dated 23rd July 1965. Thereafter, the Union filed its rejoinder to the written statement of the Bank on 27th July 1965 and the Bank filed its rejoinder to the Union's written statement of 21st July 1965 and its rejoinder dated 27th July 1965 on 9th August 1965 after which the dispute was taken up for hearing at Bombay on 30th and 31st May, 1966, when the representatives of both the parties made their submissions on the question under reference.

3. The history of the dispute culminating in this reference is that in September 1962, an issue was raised for settling the principles relating to the promotions of clerks to Junior Officers' Grade, arising out of six promotions which the Bank had made and which the Union had challenged after which they were kept in abeyance and later cancelled. The dispute was taken up in conciliation by the Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Kanpur, and at the conciliation meeting on 27th May 1963, the parties arrived at an agreement which was subject to sanction by the Head Office of the Bank at Bombay. This agreement of 27th May 1963 has been referred to by both the parties in their written statements. (See Annexure A to the Bank's written Statement). In my opinion, this is an important document and I, therefore, reproduce below the relevant portion thereof. I may state that these terms as agreed upon before the Conciliation Officer were recorded in the minutes of the conciliation proceedings dated 27th May 1963. The minutes record as follows:—

"Shri P. B. Tipnis, Agent, the Central Bank of India Limited, Agra Group of Branches suggested that in order to resolve the dispute both in the matter of the present case and also for future occasions of similar nature, the following procedure should be adopted in the matter of promotion:—

- (1) The minimum period of eligibility for promotion shall be 7 (seven) completed years of service.
- (2) Subject to this each completed year of service shall be accounted for by one Mark.
- (3) In addition to the length of service, 2 (two) marks i.e. 2 years' weightage for Graduation shall be added and further one year's weightage for each part of C.A.I.I.B. Examination shall be added:

On the basis of the above factors, a list will be drawn by the Bank of the Agra Group of Branches and circularised.

Subject to the above, cases of proved gross misconduct shall not be covered by this proposal and their promotions will depend at the Management's discretion. Further, in such cases, where minor misconduct has been proved and the increment has been stopped, the length of service will be correspondingly reduced by one year of service for one stoppage of increment.

The above suggestions, if agreed by the Union shall be sent to the Head Office for their approval and in case of approval, a formal agreement will be drawn in the presence of the Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Kanpur, so as to finalise the dispute.

The Union's representatives agreed to these suggestions of Mr. Tipnis.

The present vacancies shall be filled up on the basis of the above proposal finally approved by the Head Office.

In view of the above, it is requested that a fortnight's time be given to obtain the approval of the Head Office and the Agent, on receipt of the approval, will inform the Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Kanpur; accordingly who will

fix a date for drawing up a final memorandum of settlement so as to close the dispute".

4. It is admitted that thereafter on 24th June 1963, a Memorandum of Settlement was signed in the premises of the Central Bank of India Ltd., at Agra in the presence of Shri P. G. Roy, Conciliation Officer (Central) Kanpur Camp, Agra. In these proceedings, the Bank was represented by Shri P. B. Tipnis, its Chief Agent of Agra Group of Branches and the Union was represented by Shri A. C. Kakkar, President of the All India Bank Employees' Association with Shri K. P. Saxena, President, U.P. Bank Employees' Union, Agra Unit, and Shri R. N. Chaturvedi, Secretary, U.P. Bank Employees' Union, Agra Unit, all of whom signed the settlement.

5. In the said Memorandum, there is a short recital of the case before the actual record of the following terms of the settlement:—

"ANNEXURE 'B'

Memorandum of settlement arrived at the Industrial Dispute between the Management in relation to the Central Bank of India, Ltd., Agra Group of Branches and their workmen represented by the U.P. Bank Employees' Union, Agra Unit, over the issue of promotion before the Conciliation Officer (Central), Kanpur, on 24th June 1963 at Agra:—

Parties Present:

Representing employers:—

Mr. P. B. Tipnis, Chief Agent, Agra Group of Branches of the Central Bank of India Limited.

Representing Workmen:—

1. Mr. A. C. Kakkar, President, All India Bank Employees Association.
2. Mr. K. P. Saxena, President U.P. Bank Employees Union, Agra Unit.
3. Mr. R. N. Chaturvedi, Secretary, U.P. Bank Employees Union, Agra Unit.

Short Recital of the case

The U.P. Bank Employees' Union, Agra Unit, raised an industrial dispute protesting against the six promotions of clerks to Junior Officers made in the Agra Group of Branches of the Central Bank of India, Limited. The ground of objection was that many senior hands were superseded without adducing any good and cogent reasons as provided in the Award. It was also alleged that the management had no uniform promotion policy for Junior Officers from amongst the clerks. No proper service records were maintained so as to justify the supersessions. The Bank, however, maintained that service records were there but not on the lines as indicated in the Award. As demanded by the Union, the Bank Management kept promotions already made in abeyance till the matter is decided finally. Accordingly, the persons so promoted were reverted to their original posts and for the period they worked in the higher posts they were paid officiating allowance as per the directions of the Award. Later on, the Bank agreed to review the promotions afresh by cancelling the old ones. The discussions for a uniform procedure were carried on to find out an agreed basis on which the promotions were to be made. The conciliation proceedings were finally held and concluded on 24th June 1963. As a result, the dispute is settled amicably, on the following terms and conditions:

Terms of Settlement

It is agreed that the following basis will be observed in the matter of promotion as Junior Officer:—

1. (a) That the minimum academic qualifications for promotion from clerk to Junior Officer shall at least be matriculation or equivalent examination.
1. (b) That the employees who have already put in at least completed 7 (seven) years of service in the Bank in Accounts department shall be eligible for promotion as Junior Officer.
1. (c) That besides seniority in length of service, two years weightage shall be given in the case of those who are graduates and one year for passing each part of C.A.I.I.B. examination in selecting persons for promotions.
1. (d) The probation period of promotions shall be one year.

1. (e) On the above basis a list of senior employees in the Agra Group of Branches shall be drawn up.

2. In conformity with the above it is agreed by the Management to fill up the existing six vacancies by promoting the under-named employees as Junior Officers within a period of 15 days of date:—

- (i) Mr. B. G. Pathak, Aligarh Office.
- (ii) Mr. G. C. Chopra, Favara Office, Agra.
- (iii) Mr. K. L. Nagar, Agra Cantonment Office, Agra.
- (iv) Mr. S. P. Sinha, Aligarh Office.
- (v) Mr. Radha Raman Chaubey, Aligarh Office.
- (vi) Mr. Uma Shankar, Agra Cantonment office, Agra.

3. It is agreed that the persons to be promoted can be asked to work in any office of the Agra Group of Branches of the Central Bank of India Limited for the present.

4. The Bank suggested that it will be more equitable and convenient if the four Groups of the Bank namely Agra, Jaipur, Indore and Jodhpur are combined together for the purposes of future promotions. The Union representative assured to consult the respective Unions in such Groups and the All India Bank Employees' Association and after obtaining the views, the same may be communicated to the Bank for further consideration, if any, at a later stage mutually between the representatives of the Bank and the Union.

For & on behalf of the Management:

(Sd.) P. B. TIPNIS,

Chief Agent,

*The Central Bank of India Ltd.,
Agra Group of Branches.

For & on behalf of the Workmen:

(Sd.) A. C. KAKKAR,
President,
All India Bank Employees'
Association.

(Sd.) K. P. SAXENA,
President,
U.P. Bank Employees'
Union, Agra Unit.

(Sd.) R. N. CHATURVEDI,
Secretary,
U.P. Bank Employees Union, Agra Unit.
Before me

(Sd.) P. G. Roy,
Conciliation Officer (Central),
Kanpur, Camp (Agra),
24th June, 1963

Witnesses:

1. Sd/- K. L. SAIGAL,
2. Sd/-

6. It will be noticed that this memorandum of settlement does not include the clause relating to the promotion of the employees who have been guilty of proved misconduct either minor or major which was accepted as a condition of the agreement to be signed later in the minutes recorded on 27th May 1963 referred to above. It will be further noticed that changes were also made in the qualifications required for promotions of clerks to the officers' posts. The agreement of 24th June 1963 provided that the employees to be promoted must be a matriculate which condition was absent in the earlier agreement of 27th May 1963. The agreement of 24th June 1963 also provided for a probationary period of 1 year which was not provided by the earlier agreement.

7. It appears that later, there was another settlement reached on 17th September, 1964 by which it was agreed that a list of clerks to be promoted as officers will be drawn up by 1st September each year, copies of which would be sent to various authorities. It is also necessary to mention that after the agreement of 24th June 1963 was signed the Conciliation Officer (C), Kanpur, wrote on 8th August 1963 to both the parties enquiring whether the agreement of 24th June 1963 had been implemented and it appears that both the parties wrote to the

Conciliation Officer (C), Kanpur, that the agreement had been implemented, and the Union's copy of this intimation dated 10th August 1963 is on record as Ex. W-2. There is also on record a letter bearing No. 30/646 dated 18th December, 1963 addressed to the Conciliation Officer (C) Kanpur, in which the Bank had stated that it had already prepared a list of seniority of 20 employees and that the Head Office was preparing a regular list, copy of which was forwarded by the Conciliation Officer to the Union. (Ex. W-3).

8. I may, at the outset, dispose of the contention urged by the Bank in its written statement that the agreement dated 24th June 1963 had not been voluntarily signed by the Bank's agent at Agra and that he had been made to sign that settlement under pressure. The Bank's story is that a large number of employees of this Bank had surrounded the office of the Chief Agent of the Bank at Agra on the day conciliation proceedings were held and it was in that kind of pressure that the Chief Agent of the Bank signed the agreement. The Union has strongly denied this allegation and has stated that there was no pressure of any kind exerted on the agent, and this was established by the fact that the agreement was signed by the representatives of the Bank and the Union in the presence of the Conciliation Officer and that till the Bank's written statement was filed in this reference at no earlier stage there was ever a suggestion made that the Bank Agent's signature was given under pressure. Shri N. V. Phadke, Counsel for the Bank, has wisely not sought to establish this allegation by leading any evidence at the hearing of the application. It appears to me that even granting that a large number of workmen were present at the Bank's premises on the day the agreement of 24th June 1963 was signed, that fact by itself does not establish that the Agent of the Bank has not signed the agreement voluntarily. The Union has, I think, rightly relied upon the Bank's circular dated 15th July 1963 (Ex. W-4) to which was attached a copy of the agreement of 24th June 1963, but in which not even a suggestion of that agreement having been signed under coercion has been made. It is admitted that the Bank wrote to the Conciliation Officer (C), Kanpur, after the receipt of the circular Ex-W. 1, from the Manager of the Bank of Bombay. That letter also did not contain any suggestion of the settlement of 24th June 1963 having been signed by the Agent under pressure nor was this allegation made after the Conciliation Officer wrote back to the Bank to follow the agreement of 24th June 1963 in the letter and spirit, the Bank not even having replied to it.

9. The Union's submission is that the settlement of 24th June 1963 governs the question of promotion of clerks to the rank of Junior Officers in the Bank and that in the terms thereof, there is no scope for the Bank to exclude any employee on the ground of proved gross misconduct in the past. It is urged by the Union that the promotions to Junior Officers posts must, in terms of the settlement of 24th June 1963, be made only on the basis of seniority and that the Bank Management had no discretion left whatsoever even in the case of a clerk who had been guilty of proved gross misconduct in the past to exclude him from promotion to Officer's post. The Union has urged that the Bank must be understood to have known and understood the provisions in the Sastry and Desai Awards and must be held to have waived its right to exercise its discretion to exclude from promotion an employee who had been found guilty of proved misconduct as given to it under those Awards and in law.

10. It is first necessary to determine the scope of the enquiry which I am asked to make under the terms of reference in this case. The reference has been made under section 36A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) which provides as follows:—

Section 36A—

- (1) If, in the opinion of the appropriate Government, any difficulty or doubt arises as to the interpretation of any provisions of an award or settlement, it may refer the question to such Labour Court, Tribunal or National Tribunal as it may think fit.
- (2) The Labour Court, Tribunal or National Tribunal to which such question is referred, shall, after giving the parties an opportunity of being heard, decide such question and its decision shall be final and binding on all such parties.

11. The only term of reference I am required to decide is whether keeping in view the terms of settlement of 24th June 1963 promotions of employees in respect of whom there are cases of proved misconduct, depend upon the discretion of the employers.

12. I am of the opinion that merely because I am asked to keep in view the terms of settlement dated 24th June 1963, it does not mean that all other considerations governing the question of promotions of employees in respect of whom there are cases of proved misconduct, are to be excluded in answering the question posed to me. It does not mean that in deciding this question, all principles of law are to be excluded. In my opinion, in answering this reference, I must take into consideration not only all the terms of the settlement of 24th June 1963, but the circumstances under which the same and the earlier agreement of 27th May 1963 were reached, as also the law relating to promotion in the Banking Industry as laid down by various Tribunals which have adjudicated on this question and on the decisions of the Supreme Court, which constitute the law on the point.

13. The present controversy has arisen in the following circumstances: In about September, 1964, the Bank had occasion to promote 8 members of its clerical staff to Junior Officers' cadre and the Bank selected 8 members of the clerical staff from the seniority list of members at the Bank's Offices at the Agra Group for such promotion. One employee, whom I shall hereafter refer to as Shri 'X', a clerk in the Bank at Agra, was not included in this list. His exclusion was due to the fact that in the past he had, after a departmental enquiry, been found guilty of fraud in respect of an amount of Rs. 200/-, of a constituent's account with the Bank. He was chargesheeted on this behalf and having been found guilty, was appropriately punished.

14. The Bank has stated that the misconduct would have justified the Bank dismissing him from its service for this fraud, but the Bank had taken a lenient view, in view of certain extenuating circumstances which he had pleaded. It appears that against this employee, there were other proved acts of major misconduct with regard to money matters; one relating to the account of the Local Rotary Club. There was also another incident of misconduct connected with a loan account. These are all proved cases of misconduct.

15. Now, the Union insists that under the terms of settlement of 24th June 1963, the Bank could not exclude Shri 'X' from the seniority list of workmen to be promoted to Jr. Officers' cadre since there is no reference in the settlement dated 24th June 1963 to persons guilty of gross misconduct being excluded. The Union has, therefore, argued that Shri 'X' could not be excluded from the Seniority list for promotion as Junior Officer and was entitled to be promoted, in his turn.

16. In deciding this issue, I think, it is necessary, to refer to the observations governing promotions contained in the awards made in Industrial Disputes between Banking Companies and their workmen. The All India Industrial Tribunal (Bank Disputes), popularly known as Sastry Tribunal, by its Award dated March, 1953 in Chap. XXVII, para 529 in dealing with the question governing promotions, *inter alia*, observed as follows:—

"We do not think that any hard and fast rules can be laid down in connection with promotions. We are, definitely opposed to the suggestion that employees' unions should be consulted in connection with promotions. It cannot be supported on principle. We do not think that such consultation is likely to be helpful either. While there is no doubt that seniority in service should be one of the most important factors to be taken into account for the purpose, we are unable to agree that mere length of service alone irrespective of efficiency, educational qualifications, character and nature of responsibility required in connection with the vacancies to be filled in should be the sole or even the main criterion for promotion. Promotion is certainly not a matter which could be made automatic and a great deal of discretion by its very nature must rest with the management in this connection. It is not only difficult but very undesirable to lay down any one single principle for the exercise of this discretion."

It will thus be seen that the Sastry Award did not approve of the principle that seniority alone should determine promotion.

17. The National Industrial Tribunal, presided over by Shri Justice K. T. Desai, and which is popularly known as the Desai Tribunal, had also to consider the question of the principles governing promotions in the Industrial Dispute between the Reserve Bank of India and its workmen. Rules 28 and 29 of the Reserve Bank of India (Service) Regulations, 1948, which deal with seniority, provided as follows:—
(28) "An employee confirmed in the Bank's service shall ordinarily rank for seniority, in his grade according to his date of confirmation in the grade and an

employee on probation, according to the length of his probationary service." (29) "All appointments and promotions shall be made at the discretion of the Bank and notwithstanding his seniority in a grade no employee shall have a right to be appointed or promoted to any particular post or grade."

18. The Desai Tribunal in dealing with these two Regulations observed as follows:—

"I can only generally observe that it is desirable that wherever it is possible, without detriment to the interests of the Bank and without affecting the efficiency, to group the employees in a particular category serving in different departments at one centre together for the purpose of being considered for promotion. A common seniority list of such employees should be maintained. The same would result in opening up equal avenues of promotion for a large number of employees and there would be lesser sense of frustration and greater peace of mind among the employees".

19. Their Lordships of the Supreme Court in dealing with this question in appeal (1965 II LL.G. p. 175 at page 195) expressed agreement with these observations of the Desai Tribunal and observed:—

"Seniority and merit should ordinarily both have a part in promotion to higher ranks and seniority and merit should temper each other. We do not think that seniority is likely to be completely lost sight of under the regulations and Shri Phalkiwala assured us that this is not the case".

Earlier, in the same decision their Lordships observed:—

"Promotion, it will therefore appear, is a matter of some discretion and seniority plays only a small part in it. This dispute is concerned with the internal management of the Bank and the National Tribunal was right in thinking that the item of the reference under which it arose gave little scope for giving directions to the Bank to change its regulations".

20. Shri Phadke has referred to the provisions of section 10 of the Banking Companies Act, 1949 which places prohibition against all dispositions on certain forms of employment

Section 10(1)(b)(i) provides as follows:—

(i) No Banking Company shall employ any person, who is or at any time has been adjudicated insolvent, or has suspended payment or has compounded with his creditors, or who is or has been convicted by a criminal Court of an offence involving moral turpitude.

21. Shri Phadke has argued that an employee who has been guilty of gross misconduct involving a fraud against the Bank i.e., one who has been guilty of an offence involving moral turpitude—cannot possibly be considered for promotion and to do otherwise would be to go against the principle underlying the provisions of section 10(1)(b)(i) of the Banking Companies Act

22. The Union has, on the other hand, stated that the Bank itself did not in all cases consider such acts of gross misconduct as disqualification for promotion to Junior Officers Posts and in that connection has relied upon the case of another employee at the Agra Branches of the Bank whom I shall call Shri 'Y'. The Union has urged that this employee who was employed as cashier in one of the branches of the Bank at Agra has been guilty of a big fraud, but all the same had been promoted as a Junior Officer. But this statement is factually incorrect in as much as it was admitted that Shri 'Y' was never promoted to the post of a Jr. Officer. In fact he is still in the cashier's scale and the only thing he has got is a cashier's allowance according to the provisions of the Desal Award in force. It was also pointed out that for working in the cash department, his honesty is guaranteed by the Chief Cashier, and that Shri 'Y' had not benefited in any manner personally in the fraud in which he was involved along with several other employees and that in connection with that fraud, several officers including an Agent had been dismissed. It was pointed out that Shri 'X' was also involved in that case.

23. In my opinion, there is substance in the Bank's contention that the agreement of 24th June 1963 does not take away the inherent discretion in the Bank

to exclude a workman from the seniority list who has been guilty of proved misconduct which in the opinion and discretion of the Bank's Management did not make him fit to be promoted as Jr. Officer.

24. It is important to note that the agreement of 24th June 1963 does not state that promotion shall be only according to seniority and nothing else. Cl. (c) of the agreement only provides for the drawing up of a list of seniority and it does not necessarily mean that promotion must be made according to that list. In my opinion, the agreement of 24th June 1963 must be very strictly interpreted as it constitutes a departure from the law on the question of promotion as laid down by the Tribunal's awards and the decisions of the Supreme Court stated above. I agree with Shri Phadke, the Learned Counsel for the Bank, that the interpretation to be given by the Tribunal should be such that it preserves the law on the question of promotion and does not destroy it. Besides, in answering the present question what I am called upon to do is only to keep in mind the agreement of 24th June 1963 and the question is whether in keeping in mind the terms of that settlement, the Bank can be deprived of its inherent discretion in not promoting an employee who may be deemed to be qualified for promotion on ground of seniority, if in its opinion, he is unfit to be appointed as Jr. Officer because of his proved past major misconduct of fraud.

25. It appears to me that the original dispute which gave rise to the agreement of 24th June 1963 was an attack on the wrongful exercise of the discretion by the Bank and not on the right of the Bank to exercise the discretion itself. That would appear to be clearly so from the terms agreed upon on 27th May 1963 whereby the right of the Bank to exercise its discretion in the matter of promotion of a clerk guilty of proved gross misconduct to the post of Junior Officer was in terms preserved. Para 3 of the agreement of 27th May 1963 specifically provided that cases of proved misconduct, were not covered by the proposal and their promotion would depend upon the Management's discretion.

26. The agreement of 24th June 1963 was based on the agreement recorded in the minutes of the meeting of 27th May 1963 which clearly reserved to the management its right to exercise its discretion in cases of promotion as Junior Officers, clerks who had been guilty of proved gross misconduct. The agreement of 24th June 1963 as I have stated earlier only provides for drawing up a list of seniority. It did not anywhere say specifically that the right of the management to exclude an employee who found guilty of proved misconduct was taken away.

27. It must be remembered, as stated by the Sastry Tribunal in para 32 of its award that Banks are delicate institutions of credit. The continued confidence of the public in the soundness of a particular banking concern is a vital factor for its successful expansion and therefore, those in charge of the management have to be constantly vigilant and watchful. Even an unfounded rumour can shake the confidence of the public and create crisis for the Bank.

28. Shri Phadke has argued, which argument I accept, that the integrity of the Bank in its final analysis is the integrity of its officers and therefore, if a Bank is not to be allowed to exercise its discretion whether or not to promote as Junior Officer, a clerk who has been guilty of a proved misconduct, it would result in undermining the confidence which a good and honest management inspires in its constituents.

29. On a careful consideration of the submissions made by the parties and in keeping in view the terms of settlement of 24th June 1963, I am of the opinion, that promotions of employees in respect of whom there are cases of proved misconduct, depend upon the discretion of the employers and I answer the question under reference accordingly.

30. No order as to costs.

(Sd.) SALIM M. MERCHANT,
Presiding Officer.
[No. F. 55(25)/65-LRIV]

S.O. 3477.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Labour Court, Jabalpur in the matter of a complaint under section 33A of the said Act from Shri Arjun Singh of the State Bank of India, Bombay which was received by the Central Government on the 1st November, 1966.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI SHRI KRISHNA DAS SHAH, PRESIDING OFFICER
LABOUR COURT: UNDER I.D. ACT, JABALPUR.

CASE NO. 45/65 I. D. ACT (CENTRAL)

BETWEEN:

Shri Arjun Singh, c/o General Secretary,

State Bank of India Employee's Union, Bombay Circle, Bombay.....
Applicant.

Vs.

State Bank of India, Bombay Non-applicant.

AWARD

New Delhi, the 7th October 1966

Applicant had presented this application on 5th March, 1962, before the National Industrial Tribunal (Bank Dispute) Bombay under section 33A of Industrial Dispute Act, hereinafter referred to as the Act. It was alleged in the application that he was employed in the State Bank of India, Narsinghpur Branch as a Chawkidar. He was given a chargesheet to which the applicant submitted his explanation, then an enquiry was held. The services of the applicant were terminated by the non-applicant from 15th April, 1961. An industrial dispute concerning the applicant and others was pending before the National Tribunal Bombay and the applicant could have been dismissed only after filing an application for approval of the action before the Tribunal. The termination of the applicant was thus in contravention of section 33 of the Act. It was therefore prayed that the National Tribunal should pass suitable orders after enquiring in the complaint.

(2) In his written statement, the non-applicant denied that the State Bank of India had terminated the services of the applicant in contravention of section 33 (2) (b) of the Act. His services were terminated only after the application to the National Tribunal had been filed for approval of the action taken of dismissing the applicant.

(3) The Central Government, by its notification dated 23rd December, 1960, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section 2 of Section 33 B of the Act, authorised the above tribunal to transfer the proceedings pending before it under section 33 or 33A of the Act to Central Labour Court, Delhi or Dhanbad. The tribunal, therefore transferred this case to Central Government, Labour Court, Delhi. The case was later on transferred to Central Labour Court, Bhopal, under orders of the Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Employment No. 55/(2)/63 LR. IV-dated 23rd February, 1963. Later on the case was transferred from the Labour Court (Central), Bhopal to this court under orders of the Government of India (Ministry of Labour and Employment No. 85(35)/65-LR. IV dated 21st October, 1963).

(4) In his written statement dated 27th June, 1961, the non-applicant denied the adverse allegations of the applicant and alleged that the whole proceedings were *mala fide* and irregular, and prayed that the application be dismissed with costs.

(5) The State Bank of India, Bombay had also filed an application before the above National Tribunal on 16th May, 1961, under section 33(2) of the Act for approval of the action of the non-applicant in dismissing the applicant. The application was also ultimately transferred to this court by the above orders of the Government of India in the department of Labour and Employment and the National Tribunal. The case was registered here as case No. 127/65 I.D.A.(c). After taking evidence of parties, this case was decided by this court on 20th June, 1966. It has been held in this case that the non-applicant had applied for permission to dismiss the applicant before actually dismissing him and that the other provisions of section 33(2) of the Act were also complied with and that there was no contravention of section 33 by the non-applicant and his action in dismissing the applicant was approved.

(6) Under section 33A, the court has to decide the question (1) whether the employer has been guilty of contravening the provisions of section 33; and (2) If the above question is decided in favour of the workman, then the court has also to decide on the merits of the disputed action of the employer. But when approval has been granted to the non-applicant for his action in dismissing the applicant under section 33(2) of the Act, the application of the applicant complaining about the breach of section 33 becomes infructuous and cannot be accepted. It is not

open to the court to treat its order granting approval of the employers' action under section 33(2) of the Act as a nullity while deciding a complaint under section 33A of the Act later on. Once permission under section 33 of the Act has been given by Industrial Tribunal, there does not remain any scope for a complaint under section 33A. The above view of this court is supported by the following decision.

- (1) Kamla Mills Ltd., Vs. Machimutta and others 3 F.J.R. Page 447.
- (2) 9 F.J.R. Page 205.
- (3) 1953 L.L.J. Vol. II page 321.

(7) In view of the above, the complaint of the applicant is rejected. No order as to costs.

7-10-1966.

Sd./- SHRI KRISHNA DAS SHAH,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, under I.D Act,
Jabalpur, M.P.
[No. F. 55(41)/66-LRIV.]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 8th November 1966

S.O. 3478.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to Messrs V. V. Pakir Mohamed Rowther & Company, Madras and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal of which Shri B. S. Somasundram shall be the Presiding Officer, with headquarters at Madras and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

Whether the management of Messrs V. V. Pakir Mohamed Rowther & Company, Madras was justified in stopping from work from the 13th April, 1966 the workmen mentioned below on account of their refusal to present themselves at Vyasarapadi every day for the purpose of marking their attendance?

1. Shri Jamal
2. Shri Devarajan
3. Shri Wahab
4. Shri Jainulabuddin
5. Shri Shamshu
6. Shri Sikandar
7. Shri Ayub Khan
8. Shri Rajoo
9. Shri Gunasekaran

[No. 28(95)/66-LRIV.]

S.O. 3479.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Union Cooperative Insurance Society Ltd., Delhi and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10, of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of

1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal of which Shri Ishwar Das Pawar shall be the Presiding Officer, with headquarters at Chandigarh and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

1. Whether the management of the Union Cooperative Insurance Society Ltd., Delhi, was justified in terminating the services of Shri K. C. Gupta from the 24th November, 1965?
2. If not, to what relief is he entitled?

[No. 70(8)/66-LRIV.]

A. L. HANNA, Under Secy.

(Department of Labour & Employment)

New Delhi, the 8th November 1966

S.O. 3480.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby appoints the 27th day of November, 1966 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter IV (except sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapters V and VI [except sub-section (1) of section 76 and sections 77, 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force] of the said Act shall come into force in the following area in the State of Gujarat, namely:—

Area within the Municipal limits of Petlad, Taluka Petlad in Kaira District.

[No. F.13(12)/66-HI.]

New Delhi, the 9th November 1966

S.O. 3481.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government having regard to the location of the factory mentioned in the Schedule below in a spare area in the State of Uttar Pradesh, hereby exempts it from the payment of the employers' special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

S. No.	Name of District	Name of area	Name of the Factory
I	Meerut	Village Loni Tehsil Ghaziabad	M/s Vijai Metal Industries

[No. F. 6(27)/65-HI.]

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 10th November 1966

S.O. 3482.—In the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment & Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 1245 dated the 14th April, 1966, published in the Gazette of India, Part II, section 3, sub-section (ii) at pages 1174-1175, at page 1175, in line 12, for "T. P. Paul" read "T. O. Paul".

[No. 12/1/66-PF.II.]

DALJIT SINGH, Under Secy.

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 9th November 1966

S.O. 3483.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947, (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial, Jabalpur, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the West Barkuhli Colliery of Messrs J. A. Trivedi Brothers (Post Office Parasia), and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 4th November, 1966.

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT (CENTRAL)
JABALPUR

PRESENT:

G. C. Agarwal—*Presiding Officer*.

PARTIES:

(i) Management of West Barkuhi Colliery.

(ii) Workmen of West Barkuhi Colliery through Young India Khadan Mazdoor Trade Union (HMS).

APPEARANCES:

Shri R. J. Trivedi appears for the management.

INDUSTRY: Coal Mine.

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Employment by Order dated 3rd July 1965 referred the matter of dispute stated below to the Industrial Tribunal (Central), Bombay under Section 10 sub-section (1) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. The proceedings remained pending before the said Tribunal from 12th July 1965 to 24th September 1966, where-after the matter of dispute was transferred to this Tribunal by Order dated 17th September, 1966 exercising power under Section 33B of the said Act.

Matter of Dispute

(1) Whether the management of West Barkuhi Colliery was justified in not providing Shri Mannoo with work though according to the medical opinion, the vision of Shri Mannoo could be corrected with glasses?

(2) If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?

After the record was received on 3rd October 1966, notices were issued to the parties for preliminary hearing on 26th October 1966. The employers appeared but the workmen absented. I, however, waited for a pretty long time and in the absence of any appearance or intimation on behalf of workmen, proceedings were conducted *ex parte*. It was noticed that although the matter had been pending since 12th July 1965, the Union took no interest in the case and did not file any written statement of claim inspite of notice sent by Bombay Tribunal. In the notice sent by this Tribunal, it had been specifically mentioned that the workmen could even now file a written statement but which was not done. Evidently the Union was not serious in pressing the dispute and remained negligent throughout.

The dispute relates to non-employment of workman Shri Mannoo who according to the management, could not be continued in employment after the Medical Board had declared him unfit because of defective vision, and in accordance with the Coal Mines Regulations and directions. There is no substance in the claim and the reference is therefore, answered in negative.

It may be mentioned that after the close of the proceedings, a telegram was received by one Shri Farooque for adjournment and without giving specific particulars and reasons. No notice of the telegram was therefore, taken. It has not been followed by any proper application till the date on which the award has been recorded.

Sd./- G. C. AGARWAL,
Presiding Officer.

[No. 5/17/65-LRII.]

S.O. 3484.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Palachouri Colliery of Messrs N. H. Ojha and Company Private Limited, Post Office Junnardeo, (Madhya Pradesh) and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 4th November, 1966.

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT (CENTRAL)
JABALPUR

PRESENT:

G. C. Agarwal—*Presiding Officer.*

PARTIES:

Management of Palachouri Colliery.

Workmen of Palachouri Colliery through Young India Khadan Mazdoor Trade Union.

APPEARANCES:

Shri G. V. Bole appears for the management.

INDUSTRY: Coal Mine.

• AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Employment by order dated 3rd February 1965 referred the matter of dispute stated below to the Industrial Tribunal (Central), Bombay under Section 10 sub-section (1) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. The proceedings remained pending before the said Tribunal from 18th February 1965 to 24th September 1966 where-after the matter of dispute was transferred to this Tribunal by order dated 17th September 1966 exercising power under Section 33B of the said act.

Matter of Dispute

Whether the management of Palachouri Colliery of Messers N. H. Ojha and Company (Private) Limited, Post Office Junardeo was justified in retrenching Sarvashri Ramdin, Sheo Mohan, Maiku Lal and Masuruddin? If not, to what relief are these workmen entitled.

After the record was received on 3rd October 1966, notices were issued to the parties for preliminary hearing on 26th October 1966. The employers turned up but no one appeared for the workmen nor any intimation was received. It is significant to find that the case had been pending for over 18 months and the Union had not chosen to file even a written statement. It was not filed before the Bombay Tribunal nor was it done before this Tribunal inspite of notice in which it had been specifically mentioned that they could even now file a written statement. It was, therefore, manifest that the Union was not serious in pressing the dispute. The proceedings had to be conducted *ex parte*.

The claim relates to retrenchment of 4 workmen out of whom two namely, S/Shri Sheomohan and Masuruddin had intimated long ago to the Bombay Tribunal that they had no dispute left with the management. Notice of these applications were sent to the Union by the said Tribunal but no objection was filed thereof. It, therefore, follows that the Union had nothing to say against the contention of these two workmen. For the remaining two S/Shri Ramdin and Maikulal the management have given a statement before me that they would pay requisite retrenchment compensation as admissible under law to these workmen.

I, therefore, record an award that S/Shri Sheomohan and Masuruddin, had no dispute left with the management and that for other two S/Shri Ramdin and Maikulal the management shall pay statutory retrenchment compensation.

It may be mentioned that after the proceedings were concluded that day, a telegram was received purporting to be on behalf of one Farooque as worker's representative. Since there is nothing on record to indicate that any Farooque was a duly authorised representative of the workmen or the Union, no notice of the telegram was taken. The reason for seeking adjournment was stated to be sickness which is a stock ground carrying little conviction. It may be mentioned that the telegram has not been followed by any application till this date when award was recorded.

Sd./- G. C. AGARWAL,

Presiding Officer.

[No. 5/14/64-LRII.]

S.O. 3485.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Johilla Colliery, Post Office Birsinghpur Pali, District Shahdole (Madhya Pradesh), and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 4th November, 1966.

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT (CENTRAL)
JABALPUR

PRESENT:

G. C. Agarwal— *Presiding Officer.*

PARTIES:

(i) Management of Johilla Colliery.

(ii) Workmen of Johilla Colliery through the Johilla Colliery Mazdoor Sabha.

APPEARANCES.

Shri K. C. Jain, Manager of the Colliery.

Shri Harban Singh, Office Superintendent of the Colliery.

Shri J. C. Jaiswal, General Secretary, Johilla Colliery Mazdoor Sabha.

INDUSTRY: Coal Mine.

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour & Employment by order dated 26th February, 1965 referred the matter of dispute stated below to the Industrial Tribunal (Central), Bombay under Section 10 sub-section (1) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. The proceedings remained pending before the said Tribunal from 9th March 1965 to 24th September 1966, where-after the matter of dispute was transferred to this Tribunal by order dated 17th September 1966 exercising power under Section 33B of the said act.

Matter of Dispute

Whether the termination by the management of the services of Shri Thomani water supplying mazdoor, with effect from the 9th September, 1964 was justified? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

After the record was received on 3rd October 1966, notices were issued to the parties for preliminary hearing on 26th October 1966. The representatives of the parties duly appeared on the above date. Good sense however prevailed among the representatives and they filed a compromise petition terms of which are reproduced in the annexure.

The dispute related to termination of services of the single workman. The management have agreed to re-employ him in a different category and have further agreed to make an *ex-gratia* payment of Rs. 300/-, which was acceptable to the Union. The dispute is thus satisfactory resolved and I record my award in terms of the settlement.

Sd./- G. C. AGARWAL,
Presiding Officer.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR.

IN THE MATTER OF REFERENCE NO. GCIT-16 OF 65 (BOMBAY).

CIT/LC-5 OF 66 (JABALPUR)

BETWEEN

M/s. Johilla Coalfields Private Ltd., Birsinghpur Colliery, P.O. Birsinghpur Pali, District Shahdol, M.P.

AND

Their Workmen.

PRAYER FOR APPROVAL OF MUTUAL SETTLEMENT AND TO PASS AN AWARD

May it please the Hon'ble Tribunal,

That both the management and the workmen have come to a mutual settlement in the matter under reference.

2. That the terms of settlement are as under:—

- (a) The management will take Shri Themani, on permanent roll of Birsinghpur Colliery as a wagon loader as a fresh employee, w.e.f. 1/11/1966.
- (b) The management will pay an ex-gratia amount of Rs. 300/- in full settlement of his past claim, if any.
- (c) The above amount of Rs 300/- (Rupees three hundred) will be paid to the workman on or before 31st October, 1966.

3. That both the parties beg the Hon'ble Tribunal to approve the above settlement arrived at between the parties, and be pleased to pass an award accordingly.

Signature of the parties

Representing workmen

1. Sd./-
 (G. C. JAISWAL),
 General Secretary,
 Johilla Colliery Mazdoor
 Sangh, Birsinghpur-Pali,
 District Shahdol, M.P.,
 and authorised representative
 of the workman.

Jabalpur, the 26th October 1966

Representing Management

1. Sd./-
 (K. C. JAIN),
 Manager, Birsinghpur Colliery,
 2. Sd./-
 (H. B. SINGH),
 Office Supdt., Birsinghpur Colliery,
 Johilla Coal-fields Pvt. Ltd., Birsinghpur-Pali, District Shahdol,
 M.P.

[No. 5/5/65-LRII.]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 5th November 1966

S.O. 3486.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Pure Jambad Colliery, Post Office Bahula, District Burdwan and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, No. 2, Calcutta, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the management of Pure Jambad Colliery, Post Office Kajoragram, District Burdwan was justified in stopping the following workmen from work with effect from the 16th August, 1966?

- (1) Herio Gorn.
- (2) Panchram Keet.
- (3) Kamalabai Keet.
- (4) Ramprasad Satnami.
- (5) Lachmin Satnami.
- (6) Dayadas Painka.
- (7) Bahartin Painka.
- (8) Bhagatram Dobi.
- (9) Bhagmoti Dobi.
- (10) Fulsai Gorn.
- (11) Tirathbai Gorn.
- (12) Nohar Dobi.
- (13) Punibai Dobi.
- (14) Dularin Halua.
- (15) Rularin Halua.
- (16) Anandram Satnami.

- (17) Sukhbara Satnami.
- (18) Tirathram Gork.
- (19) Sukhbati Gork.
- (20) Nisho Gork.
- (21) Tejram Gork.
- (22) Jankibai Gork.
- (23) Hariram Satnami.
- (24) Rathmoti Satnami.
- (25) Kartick Satnami.
- (26) Fulbai Satnami.
- (27) Bandhan Gork.
- (28) Bahartin Gork.
- (29) Gopal Gork.
- (30) Budhwara Gork.
- (31) Bajaru Gork.
- (32) Deokuwar Gork.
- (33) Charanpurin Gork.
- (34) Jago Gara.
- (35) Mula Gara.
- (36) Bho'u Gara.
- (37) Ludhu Satnami.
- (38) Sonal Satnami.
- (39) Suklal Kawar.
- (40) Gouri Kawar.
- (41) Mohan Satnami.
- (42) Rambai Satnami.
- (43) Ramdas Painka.
- (44) Budhwara Painka.
- (45) Sonhar Painka.
- (46) Jagdeo Teli.
- (47) Dasoda Teli.
- (48) Ramlal Satnami.
- (49) Ramin Satnami.
- (50) Bundhram Satnami.
- (51) Sukhwara Satnami.
- (52) Ramadhin Satnami.
- (53) Radhabai Satnami.
- (54) Nildhaja Satnami.
- (55) Keribai Satnami.
- (56) Sovaram Teli.
- (57) Jharu Dhimra.
- (58) Gangabai Dhimra.
- (59) Dhaniram Teli.
- (60) Chhoto Rambai Teli.
- (61) Patiram Teli.
- (62) Sital Teli.
- (63) Ratiram Satnami.
- (64) Somarin Satnami.
- (65) Santu Painka.
- (66) Adrabai Painka.
- (67) Mutu Teli.
- (68) Chamru Painka.
- (69) Rajin Teli.
- (70) Garhandas Painka.
- (71) Kacharubai Painka.
- (72) Hira Chasa.
- (73) Patiram Tanti.
- (74) Indramti Tanti.
- (75) Ganpet Gara.
- (76) Baharitin Gara.
- (77) Ganga Gara.
- (78) Mehtar Gara.
- (79) Kunjmati Gara.
- (80) Ghasia Kawar.
- (81) Deokuwar Kawar.
- (82) Ratiram Teli.
- (83) Jankuwar Teli.
- (84) Budhan Bhuiya.
- (85) Balma Bhuiya.
- (86) Borhi Gork.
- (87) Luchna Gork.
- (88) Chandan Tanti.
- (89) Mohitdas Painka.

- (90) Lila Painka.
- (91) Bahata Painka.
- (92) Sonal Painka.
- (93) Dasaram Painka.
- (94) Mehatrin Painka.
- (95) Motilal Painka.
- (96) Milen Painka.
- (97) Ramprasad Dobi.
- (98) Karimudi Dobi.
- (99) Seo atan Dobi.
- (100) Puraton Dobi.
- (101) Katku Teli.
- (102) Moghni Teli.
- (103) Kalu Koeri.
- (104) Jamuna Painka.

If not, to what relief are they entitled?

[No. 6/84/66-LRII.]

S.O. 3487.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Kankanee Colliery of Messrs Bhowra Kankanee Collieries Limited, Post Office, Bansjora, District Dhanbad, and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the management of the Kankanee Colliery of Messrs Bhowra Kankanee Collieries Limited was justified in dismissing Shri Ratan Gorain, Pump Khalasi, with effect from the 18th August, 1966? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. 2/147/66-LRII.]

S.O. 3488.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Damua Colliery of Messrs Kanhan Valley Coal Company (Private) Limited, Byramji Town, Nagpur and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the management of Messrs Kanhan Valley Coal Company (Private) Limited, Byramji Town, Nagpur (MS) was justified in dismissing Shri Khalil Uddin son of Fateh Uddin, Overman of their Damua Colliery from service with effect from the 29th April, 1966? If not to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. 5/83/66-LRII.]

S.O. 3489.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the South Govindpur Colliery of Shri H. I. Pathak, Post Office Katraspur, District Dhanbad, and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the termination of service of the following workmen by the management of the South Govindpur Colliery of Shri H. I. Pathak with effect from the dates shown against their names is justified? If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?

(1) Shri Dusal Rewani, Trammer.	30-7-1966.
(2) Shri Janki Rewani, General Mazdoor.	27-7-1966.
(3) Shri Bhujang Rewani, P. Mistry.	27-7-1966.

[No. 2/150/66-LRII.]

S.O. 3490.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Ghusick Workshop and Muslia Colliery of Messrs Ghusick and Muslia Collieries Limited and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, No. 2, Calcutta constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Whether the management of Ghusick Workshop and Muslia Colliery of Ghusick and Muslia Collieries Limited, was justified in not allowing Sarvashri N. K. Saha, B. C. Kumar, H. N. Singh, Mining Sirdars and Harihar Sirdar, Saitan Singh, Night Guards, Muslia Colliery and Ramdas Roy, CCM Fitter, Jit Narain Dhobi, Filter Supervisor, Balbhadra Jah, Fitter, Golak Karmaka, Latheman and Narain Ch. Slnha, Cap Lamp Incharge, of Ghusick Workshop to enjoy the facility of sixteen days sick leave with full wages in a year? If not, to what relief are they entitled?

[No. 6/52/66-LRII.]

S.O. 3491.—Whereas, the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Singani Collieries Company Limited, Belampalli Group of Collieries, Post Office Belampalli (Andhra Pradesh) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas, the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal with Shri Mohammad Najmuddin, as Presiding Officer with headquarters at Afzal Lodge, Tilak Road, Ramkote, Hyderabad-1, and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Industrial Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

- (i) Whether the punishment of dismissal awarded to Shri B. Ramulu, Road Gang Mazdoor in the Ramakrishnapur Division, was justified? and
- (ii) if not, to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. 7/25/66-LRII.]

New Delhi, the 10th November 1966

S.O. 3492.—Whereas an industrial dispute exists between the General Manager, Bhilai Steel Plant, Bhilai, Durg, in relation to Rajhara Mechanised Mines; Rajhara (District Durg, Madhya Pradesh) (hereinafter referred as the said Company) and their workmen represented by Steel Workers Union, Rajhara, Durg, (hereinafter referred to as the Union);

And whereas the said Company and the Union have by a written agreement, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), agreed to refer the said dispute to arbitration of the person mentioned therein, and a copy of the said arbitration agreement has been forwarded to the Central Government;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (3) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the said arbitration agreement, which was received by it on the 24th October, 1966.

AGREEMENT

FORM 'C'

(Under Section 10-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947)

BETWEEN

NAME OF THE PARTIES:

Representing Employers—Shri C. K. Ahluwalia, Assistant Personnel Officer (Mines).

For General Manager, Bhilai Steel Plant, Bhilai, (Durg).

Representing Workman—1. Shri T. A. Menon, Organising Secretary, Steel Worker's Union, Bhilai, (Durg, M.P.)

2. Shri P. B. Chakraborty, Secretary, Steel Worker's Union, Rajhara, (Durg M.P.)

It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following Industrial Disputes to the arbitration of Shri A. N. Roy, Regional—Labour Commissioner (Central), (Implementation), Nagpur:

(i) Whether Shri G. Apparao, Fitter (M), Rajhara Mechanised-Mines, Rajhara, (Dist. Durg, M.P.) is entitled for promotion to the post of Senior Mechanic in the scale of Rs. 200—350/- with effect from 1st April, 1963? If so, what relief he is entitled to?

(ii) General Manager, Bhilai Steel Plant, Bhilai, (Durg M.P.)—*Employers*.

Shri T. A. Menon, Organising Secretary, Steel Workers Union, Bhilai, (Durg, M.P.) and Shri P. B. Chakraborty, Secretary, Steel Workers Union, Rajhara, Durg, M.P.—*for the Workman*.

(iii) Steel Worker's Union, (I.N.T.U.C.), Rajhara Mines, P.O. Rajhara, Dist. Durg, M.P.)

(iv) No. of workers employed in the undertaking affected: 2100

(v) Estimated No. of workmen affected or likely to be affected.

We further agree that the decision of the arbitration shall be binding on us, and it is requested that the arbitrator shall make his award within 3 months, otherwise it will be open to parties to choose another arbitrator.

Signature of the parties:

Representing Employers—(C. K. Ahluwalia), Assistant Personnel Officer (mines) for General Manager, Bhilai Steel Plant.

Representing Workmen:

Sd./-

(T. A. MENON),

Organising Secretary,
Steel Workers Union,
Bhilai.

Sd./-

(P. B. CHAKRABORTY),
Secretary, Steel,
Workers Union,
Rajhara, Durg, M.P.

Witness:

Sd./-

(D. P. SRIVASTAVA),
Labour Enforcement Officer
Govt. of India, Raipur (M.P.).

Sd./-

(K. A. RAMACHANDRAN NAIR).

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT

[Office of the Officer on Special Duty
Regional Labour Commissioner(C) (Implementation) Nagpur]

Telephone: Office—22515
Residence—31839

Telegram: RELABCOM

D.O. No. OSD

Dated the 11th Oct. 1966

Dear Shri Srivastva,

Please refer to your D.O. Letter No. R-6(16)/9/66/3 dated 24th September, 1966 regarding Industrial Dispute over promotion of Shri G. Apparao raised by the Steel Worker's Union, Rajhara, I have no objection in acting as an arbitrator in the above mentioned dispute as already intimated to you in course of my discussions on 28th September 1966.

Yours sincerely
Sd./- (A. N. Roy),

Shri D. P. Srivastava,
L.E.O. (C)
RAIPUR.

[No. F.37/21/66-LRL]
H. C. MANGHANI, Under Secy

(Department of Labour & Employment)

New Delhi. the 10th November 1966

S.O. 3493.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rule (3) of rule 1 of the Mines Vocational Training Rules, 1966, the Central Government hereby appoints the 1st day of January, 1967, as the date on which the provisions of the said rules, except rule 9, shall come into force in respect of the limestone mines and mica mines.

[No. 34(5)/66-MI.]
R. C. SAKSENA, Under Secy.

ERRATUM

In the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) Order No. 51(74)/64-LRIV, dated 20th August, 1966, published as S.O. No. 2581 (page 2487) in the Gazette of India Part II, Section 3, Sub-section II, dated 27th August, 1966, the following correction may be made:—

for "Industrial Tribunal, Bombay".
read "Industrial Tribunal, Delhi".

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Expenditure)

New Delhi. the 2nd November 1966

S.O. 3494.—In pursuance of clause (3) of article 77 of the Constitution and of all other powers enabling him in this behalf, the President hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Delegation of Financial Powers Rules, 1958, namely:—

1. These rules may be called the Delegation of Financial Powers (Third Amendment) Rules, 1966.

2. In the Delegation of Financial Powers Rules, 1958,

(i) in Schedule I.—

(a) under the heading "K. Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Department of Agriculture)", for items 6 and 15 and the entries relating thereto, the following shall be substituted and be deemed to have been substituted with effect from the 1st day of September, 1965, and the 7th day of December, 1965, respectively, namely:—

"6. Chief Engineer, Exploratory Tubewells Organisation, New Delhi.

15. Director, Central Mechanised Farm, Suratgarh.";

(b) under the heading "S. Ministry of Labour and Employment", after item 17 and the entries relating thereto, the following shall be inserted and be deemed to have been inserted with effect from the 21st day of May, 1966, namely:—

"18. Director of Employment Exchanges, Directorate General of Employment and Training in respect of Labour Depot, Gorakhpur.";

(c) under the heading "U. Ministry of Rehabilitation", after item 5 and the entries relating thereto, the following shall be inserted and be deemed to have been inserted with effect from the 21st day of December, 1965, namely:—

"6. Chief Pay and Accounts Officer.";

(d) under the heading "Y. Ministry of Transport (Transport Wing)", for item "10 and the entries relating thereto, the following shall be substituted and be deemed to have been substituted with effect from the 7th day of June, 1966, namely:—

"10. Administrator, Paradip Port.";

(e) under the heading "Z. Ministry of Works and Housing" after item 5 and the entries relating thereto, the following shall be inserted and be deemed to have been inserted with effect from the 21st day of December, 1965, namely:—

"6. Chief Pay and Accounts Officer.";

(ii) in the Annexure to Schedule V against item 10 for the entries in column 4, the following shall be substituted, namely:—

"In all cases, except those mentioned below, the land required for Government use shall be acquired with the previous consent of the Ministry of Works, Housing and Urban Development or in accordance with such orders as that Ministry may issue, from time to time:—

(a) The Ministry of Transport and Aviation or the Department of Communications may acquire land required for works under its control.

(b) An Administrator may acquire land, provided that the cost thereof is included in a scheme and the total expenditure on the scheme is within the powers of sanction of the Administrator. Such acquisition shall be subject to the certification of local Public Works authorities that the requirements of land and the price proposed to be paid are reasonable.

(c) Where the Ministries or Departments have for the acquisition of land, been given separate budget grants, which are not controlled by the Ministry of Works, Housing and Urban Development the land required by them for the use of the Government may be acquired by them in consultation with the Finance Ministry, after ascertaining from the local office of the Central Public Works Department that:—

(i) there is no Central Government land available for the purpose; and

(ii) the amount payable therefor is reasonable.

Provided that where the land is acquired through the local Revenue authorities, it shall not be necessary to obtain the certificate of reasonableness of the price of land from the Central Public Works Department."

(Department of Economic Affairs)

New Delhi, the 7th November 1966

S.O. 3495.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 53 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949), the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declare that the provisions of Note (f) appended to Form A in the Third Schedule to the said Act shall not apply until the 1st January 1967 to the State Bank of India, any banking institution notified under Section 51 of the said Act and any banking company, which, when the value shown in the inner column against any of the sub-heads (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) of item 4 of the Property and Assets side of the said Form exceeds the market value of the investments under that sub-head, shows separately within brackets the market value of the investments under that sub-head.

[No. F. 15(8)-BC/66.]

V. SWAMINATHAN, Under Secy.

(Department of Revenue and Insurance)

INCOME-TAX

New Delhi, the 24th October 1966

S.O. 3496.—It is hereby notified for general information that the institution mentioned below has been approved by the Indian Council of Medical Research, the "prescribed authority", for the purpose of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961, (43 of 1961).

Institution

BLIND MEN'S ASSOCIATION, AHMEDABAD.

[No 103/F. No. 10/51/66-IT(AI).]

New Delhi, the 25th October 1966

S.O. 3497.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (8) of Section 88 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government hereby notifies Sri Ranganathaswami Temple, Srirangam, Madras State to be of historic and archaeological importance and to be a place of public worship of renown throughout the Madras State for the purpose of the said section.

[No. 106/F. No. 16/39/66-IT(AI).]

J. C. KALRA, Dy. Secy.

(Department of Revenue and Insurance)

ORDERS

STAMPS

New Delhi, the 19th November 1966

S.O. 3498.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899), the Central Government hereby remits the stamp duty with which the 12 year loan bonds to the value of one crore of rupees (subject to a retention margin of ten per cent) proposed to be issued by the Mysore State Financial Corporation are chargeable under the said Act.

[No. 21/1966-F. No. 1/55/66-Cus. VII/Stamp.]

S.O. 3499.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899), the Central Government hereby remits [in addition to the remission of duty made in the Order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue and Insurance) No. 20 of 1960 which was published in the Gazette of India dated the 22nd October, 1966 Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii)] the duty with which the bonds to the value of one crore of rupees to be issued by the Maharashtra State Financial Corporation are chargeable under the said Act.

[No. 22/66—F. No. 1/58/66-Cus. VII (Stamps).]

S.O. 3500.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899), the Central Government hereby remits the stamp duty with which the 12 year debentures to the value of one crore of rupees proposed to be issued by the Kerala State Financial Corporation are chargeable under the said Act.

[No. 23/66—F. No. 1/56/66-Cus. VII (Stamps).]

M. S. SUBRAMANYAM, Under Secy.

CENTRAL BOARD OF DIRECT TAXES

INCOME-TAX

New Delhi, the 8th November 1966

S.O. 3501.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 122 of the Income-tax Act 1961 (43 of 1961) and of all other powers enabling it in that behalf, the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby makes the following further amendments in the Schedule appended to its Notification No. 16-Income-tax dated the 14th January, 1966, namely:—

In the said Schedule against A-Range, Jaipur under column 2 the following shall be added namely:—

8. Companies Circle I, Jaipur.
9. Campanies Circle II, Jaipur.

Explanatory Note:

The amendment has become necessary on account of creation of new Companies Circles in the Commissioner's charge.

(The above note does not form a part of the notification but is intended to be merely clarificatory.)

[No. 113 (F. No. 50/8/66-ITJ.)]

P. G. GANDHI, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING

New Delhi, the 4th November 1966

S.O. 3502.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5(1) of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 and sub-rule (3) of rule 8 read with sub-rule 2 of rule 9 of the Cinematograph (Censorship) Rules, 1958, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Tara Shankar Banerjee as a member of the Advisory Panel of the said Board at Calcutta with immediate effect.

[No. 11/3/66-FC.]

S.O. 3503.—The Central Government has accepted the resignation of Shri K. D. Tripathi from membership of the Madras Advisory Panel of the Central Board of Film Censors.

[No. 11/3/65-FC.]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 5th November 1966

S.O. 3504.—In pursuance of the directions issued under the provisions of the enactments specified in the First Schedule annexed hereto the Central Government after considering the recommendations of the Film Advisory Board, Bombay hereby approves the films specified in column 2 of the Second Schedule annexed hereto in all their language versions to be of the description specified against each in column 5 of the said Second Schedule.

THE FIRST SCHEDULE

- (1) Sub-Section (4) of the Section 12 and Section 16 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (Central Act XXXVII of 1952).
- (2) Sub-Section (3) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Bombay Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Bombay Act XI of 1953).
- (3) Sub-Section (4) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Saurashtra Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Saurashtra Act XVII of 1953).

THE SECOND SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Title of the film	Length 35 mm	Name of the Applicant	Name of the Producer	Whether a scientific film or a film inten- ded for educational purposes or a film dealing with news and cur- rent events or a documen- tary film.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Maharashtra News No. 172.	292.61M	Director of Publicity, Government of Maharashtra, Bombay-34.		Film dealin with news and .current events. (For release in Maharashtra Circuit only.)
2	Maharashtra News No. 173.	275.85M		Do.	Do.

[No. F.24/1/68-FP App. 1133.]

S.O. 3505.—In pursuance of the directions issued under the provisions of each of the enactments specified in the First Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government after considering the recommendations of the Film Advisory Board, Bombay hereby approves the film specified in column 2 of the Second Schedule annexed hereto in Gujarati to be of the description specified against it in column 6 of the said Second Schedule.

THE FIRST SCHEDULE

- (1) Sub-Section 4 of the Section 12 and Section 16 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (Central Act XXXVII of 1952).
- (2) Sub-Section (3) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Saurashtra Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Saurashtra Act XVII of 1953).
- (3) Sub-Section (4) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Bombay Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Saurashtra Act XVII of 1953).

THE SECOND SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Title of the film	Length 35 mm	Name of the Applicant	Name of the Producer	Whether a scienti- fic film or a film intended for educa- tional pur- poses or a film dealing with news and cur- rent events or a documen- tary film
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Mahitichitra No. 72.	252 M	Director of Information, Government of Gu- jarat, Ahmedabad.	Film deal- ing with news and cur- rent events. (For relea- se in Gu- jarat Cir- cuit only).	

[No. F.24/1/66-FP App. 1134.]

S.O. 3506.—In pursuance of the directions issued under the provision of each of the enactments specified in the First Schedule to the order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting No. S.O. 2732 dated the 20th September of 1963, the Central Government after considering the recommendations of the Film Advisory Board, Bombay hereby approves the films specified in column 2 of the Schedule annexed hereto in all their language versions to be of the description specified against each in column 6 of the said Schedule.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Title of the film	Length 35 mm	Name of the Applicant	Name of the Producer	Whether a scientific film or a film intended for educa- tional pur- poses or a film dealing with news and cur- rent events or a documen- tary film
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Glimpses of India-V-Central Region (Colour).	321 M	Controller of the Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-26.	Controller of the Films Division, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-26.	Documentary film.
2	Parambikulam Aliyar Project.	300 M		Do.	Do.

1	2	3	4	5	6
3.	Music of India (Classical)	483.5 M	Controller of the Films Division, 24, Peddar Road, Bombay-26.	Film intended for educational purposes.	
4.	Is Tomorrow Ours ?	413.13 M	M/s. Atma Ram Films, 2-B, 'Mayfair', Opp. Bandra Courts, 25, Swami Vive- kananda Road, Bombay-50.	Do.	
5.	There is No Limit to Your Love	36 M	M/s. Advertising & Sales Promotion, Dinshaw Wachha Road, Bombay-1.	Sanjay Productions, 'Akash', 424, Sarojini Road, Santacruz (West), Bombay-54.	Do.
6.	Have You Paused to Think	41 M	M/s. Advertising & Sales Promo- tion, Dinshaw Wachha Road, Bombay-1.	Sanjay Productions, 'Akash', 424, Sarojini intended for Road, Santacruz, educational (West) Bombay-54.	Film purposes.
7.	Every Ten Se- conds	43 M	Do.	Do.	Do.
8.	Coffee Country (Colour)	383.44 M	Issar Films, Maazda Mansion, B. D. Road, Bombay-26.	B. D.	Documentary film.
9.	Kal Jo Aayega	361.16 M	Principal, Film Institute of India, Law College Road, Poona.		Do.

[No. F. 24/1/66-FP. App. 1135.]

D. R. KHANNA, Dy. Secy.

संदर्भ भंगालय

प्रादेश

मही दिल्ली, 1 नवम्बर, 1966

एस० प्रो० 3507.—डी० सी० 2/66 इस मंत्रालय के आदेश सं०एस०ओ०पी०/ डी०सी०/ 1/66, विनांक 25 अक्टूबर, 1966 को जारी रखते हुए एतद् द्वारा यह सचित किया जाता है कि मेरसर्व कर्मचन्द थापर एन्ड ब्रदर्स, प्रा० लि०, कलकत्ता, के श्री एम० एल० जुत्थी को, जिनका उल्लेख उपर्युक्त आदेश की क्रम संख्या (1) में किया गया है, उपर्युक्त आदेश के आधीन की गई घोषणा के प्रत्युत्तर कागज, लुगदी तथा सम्बद्ध उद्योगों की विकास परिषद् का अध्यक्ष नाम निर्दिष्ट किया गया है।

(स०एल०प्रा०इ० (3)-17 (154)/66 डेव० कौसिल)

सी० बालसुन्दरमण्ड्यम, उप सचिव।

MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY

(Indian Standards Institution)

New Delhi, the 7th November 1966

S.O. 3508.—In licence No. CM/L-1294 dated 30th June, 1966 held by M/s. Welding Electrodes & Metallic Alloys Ltd., Plot No. 4, Kalwa Industrial Area, Thana-Belapur Road, Distt. Thana (Maharashtra State), the details of which are published under S.O. 2248 in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3 Sub-section (ii) dated 30th July 1966, the list of articles has been revised to include the following brands with effect from 1 November 1966:

Philips 45, and

Philips 68.

[No. MD/55:1294.]

S. K. SEN, Dy. Director General.

MINISTRY OF COMMERCE

ORDER

New Delhi, the 10th November 1966

S.O. 3509.—In exercise of the powers conferred on me by Notification No. S.O. 1029, dated the 28th March, 1966, I hereby direct that the Producer, specified in column 1 of the Table below of the goods as specified in Column 2 there against shall sell 6.75 M/Tonnes of the goods in his possession to the persons specified in the corresponding entry in column 3 of the said table for purposes of manufacture for export, at the price indicated thereagainst in column 5 of the said table.

TABLE

Name of the firm (producer)	Specification of goods	Name of the exporter	Price	Conditions
1	2	3	4	5
Indian Iron and Steel Co. Ltd., Calcutta.	22 mm diameter Round bars M 4- class IV Steel.	Indian Standard Wagon Co. Ltd., J.P.C. sel- 12, Mission Row, Ling price. Calcutta.	At normal price.	Supplies should be made immediately and delivered at the firm's Santa Works, Burnpur.

[No. 31/4/66-EP(Engg.)]

By Order etc.

A. C. BANERJEE,

Director,

Essential Commodities (Regulation
of Production and Distribution for
purposes of export) Order, 1966.

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 7th November 1966

S.O. 3510.—In the Government of India, Ministry of Commerce Notification No. S. O. 2643, dated the 18th August, 1966, published in Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii) of the Gazette of India dated 3rd September, 1966, after the words "or Deputy Textile Commissioner appointed by the Central Government" occurring in Clause 2, the following shall be added, namely:—

"and any officer authorised by such Textile Commissioner to exercise all or any of the Powers of the Textile Commissioner under this order."

[No. 1(4)-Tex(I)/65.]

A. G. V. SUBRAMANIAM, Under Secy.